12.15 ADDITIONAL RATE VARIATION - APPLICATION PROPOSAL

Attachments:	A. Minister for Local Government - Guidelines for Additional Special Rates Variation Process for 2022-23
Responsible Officer:	Fiona Plesman - General Manager
Author:	David Walsh - Manager - Corporate Services & Chief Financial Officer Josh Hogan - Financial Controller
Community Plan Issue:	A Council that is well managed, efficient and properly resourced and that is responsive to its communities and stakeholders
Community Plan Goal:	Maintain a strong focus on financial discipline to enable Council to properly respond to the needs of the communities it serves.
Community Plan Strategy:	Work towards the achievement of a sustainable Operating Budget result in the General Fund.

PURPOSE

Provide Councillors information in order to decide on whether to support an additional special variation (ASV), and endorse an application by Council to IPART to seek a rate increase.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

Council endorse an application to IPART seeking an additional rate variation of 1.8%.

Moved: _____

Seconded: _____

BACKGROUND

IPART annually reviews council rates and charges and sets the maximum increase councils can apply to their general income from these charges – this is called the rate peg. For the budget period 2022/23, IPART has set a limit of 0.7%. This report seeks to outline the impacts that the low-rate peg will have on Council. Furthermore, IPART has provided for an Additional Special Variation (ASV) through an application to be made by 29th April 2020, with the ability for Council's to apply for a rate increase consistent with their 2021/22 LTFP. As such, this report will also provide an overview of how an additional increase of 1.8% could enable Council to provide a maintained level of service to the community.

CONSULTATION

Mayor

General Manager

Deputy General Manager

Financial Controller

Manex

Finance Committee

REPORT

Due to on-going cost pressures across Councils and a decision by IPART to set the rate peg at 0.7%, Councils across NSW have been put into a position of difficulty. As a result, IPART have released an

additional round of special variations (ASV) that Councils are able to apply for. In a departure from traditional SRV applications, the ASV is to be based on a simple application process allowing for Council's to seek permissions to increase their rates, provided Council endorses such an application through resolution, and that amounts sought are reasonable.

IPART are still to release all documentation and the application, so to date Council officers are limited in the information they are able to provide, however, some high-level modelling has been done to provide community impacts for the successful application of an ASV at different levels.

																			Avg		Avg		A
										Avg			Avg		Avg		Avg	In	crease at	Inc	crease at	Inc	cr
	No. of	In	crease at	Ine	crease at	In	crease at	In	icrease at	Increase	e at	Inc	rease at	Inc	rease at	Inc	crease at	1	2.50% vs	- 4	.00% vs	- 5	5.0
Rate Category	Assess.		0.70%		2.50%		4.00%		5.00%	0.70%			2.50%		4.00%		5.00%		0.7%		0.7%		(
Residential	6,603	\$	40,456	\$	144,485	\$	231,177	\$	288,971	\$	6	\$	22	\$	35	\$	44	\$	16	\$	29	\$	
Business	631	\$	12,724	\$	45,442	\$	72,707	\$	90,883	\$	20	\$	72	\$	115	\$	144	\$	52	\$	95	\$	
Farmland	474	\$	10,404	\$	37,156	\$	59,449	\$	74,311	\$	22	\$	78	\$	125	\$	157	\$	56	\$	103	\$	
Mining	9	\$	74,690	\$	266,751	\$	426,801	\$	533,502	\$ 8,2	99	\$	29,639	\$	47,422	\$	59,278	\$	21,340	\$	39,123	\$	
Power Generation (Business)	2	\$	1,924	\$	6,871	\$	10,994	\$	13,742	\$ 9	62	\$	3,436	\$	5,497	\$	6,871	\$	2,474	\$	4,535	\$	
Grand Total	7,719	\$	140,197	\$	500,705	\$	801,128	\$	1,001,409	\$	18	\$	65	\$	104	\$	130	\$	47	\$	86	\$	
Impact vs 0.7%				\$	360.507	\$	660.930	\$	861.212									_					

- What would be the overall revenue impact to apply an additional 1.8% in rates increases?
 - .5% → 360k
 - $\circ \quad \overline{@} 4\% \rightarrow 660k$
 - @ 5% → 860k
- What would that mean to the average household/business?
 - .5% → \$16
 - @4% → \$29
 - . @5% → \$38
- How would that benefit the council over the medium term?
 - 10-year cumulative additional revenue impact of around \$3.9m (@2.5% vs 0.7%; assuming 2% in subsequent years)
 - @4% → \$7.2m
 - @5% → \$9.4m
 - Without the increase, Council services would have to reduce (e.g. Reduced road maintenance and renewals, parks maintenance, etc)

Based on impacts to households and businesses being reasonable, it is proposed that Council endorse council officers to make an application to IPART for an ASV.

OPTIONS

- 1. Endorse Council Officers to apply to IPART for an additional special variation (ASV) of, at a minimum, 1.8%, or
- 2. Leave the current rate increase as set by IPART at 0.7%.

CONCLUSION

Council officers recommend council endorse an application to IPART for an ASV.

SOCIAL IMPLICATIONS

As per the report.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

As per the report.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Nil

OPERATIONAL PLAN IMPLICATIONS

Nil

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

Nil

WASTE MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

Nil

COMMUNITY CONSULTATION/MEDIA IMPLICATIONS

Nil