Author name: Anonymous

Date of submission: Monday, 12 March 2018

Submission: I support the SRV. Local Government is all about providing local services that otherwise would not necessarily exist. Private enterprise would not provide certain services if a business model proved to be not cost effective. CVC has a lot of infrastructure, roads, bridges, water, sewer as well as the physical building assets and open spaces. The income to fund this infrastructure and services is mostly rates and government grants. The Financial Assistance Grants are based on per capita (which I believe is one of the fundamental floors in the Local Government model). Which leaves it to the local residents to fund the gap. Asking a community what services they want o keep and which must go is a waste of time ... its a 50/50 split and just causes unrest and anger towards CVC for not listening to at least 50% of the ratepayers. The FFTF caused even more unrest by putting unreasonable and achievable criteria in place to measure all councils, with no real thought given to the ramifications of doing this. Once again this really gets the community to lose confidence in the Local Council often through no fault of the Council. Do I think the community as a whole is in a position to fund this SRV ... not really ... certain demographics will struggle, as they would with any other increase in cost of living. Would I like future generations to grow up in the clarence without Libraries and Swimming pools and parks and play grounds ... quite simply No. So we pay the extra SRV and ensure assets and services are available for the future generations and Local Government continues to do what it does ... provide services and assets that don't necessarily make money but add value to our community.