

20 July 2015.

FRO - IPART - CHAIRMAN / BOARD.

RE - COUNCIL REMOVALS. (AMENDMENTS).

WE HAVE QUERIED THE VALIDITY AND ACTIONS OF COUNCILS FOR 40 YEARS, HERE AND ELSEWHERE. THE STATE GOVT IS LATE TO THE GAME.

MRS THATCHER HAD THE RIGHT RECIPE; LIMIT THEM TO THE BASICS AND GET THEM UNDER CONTROL/REVIEW. OFF OUR BACKS!

IN AUSTRALIA WE HAVE CONSTANLY BEEN TOLD BY ALL OF THE INSTITUTIONS THAT SHOULD HAVE CONTROL OF COUNCILS, THAT, IN FACT, NO-ONE HAS ANY CONTROL OVER THEM. THIS IS NOT DEMOCRACY, IT'S PLAYGROUND BEHAVIOUR, IT'S CLEMENTI. (PITTMATE)

NOW, IN THE 20 yrs WE HAVE BEEN TOLD THE COUNCIL HAVE NEVER SENT OUT VOTING ENVELOPES TO US, SO WE CANNOT VOTE THEM OUT. THEY CAN'T EVEN MANAGE BASIC ADMINISTRATION UNLESS OF COURSE YOU ARE A MEMBER, SUPPORTER AND MEMBER OF ONE OF THE SECTIONS, BUSINESS, AND CUBS OR SOCIAL CLONES AND VARIOUS GROUPS THAT RECKON THEM THE COUNCIL AND BENEFIT FROM IT'S "LAROUSSE". THE EXAMPLES ARE CLEVER AND MULTITUDEOUS, WE CAN GIVE THEM TO YOU.

WE ENCLOSURE ANOTHER RECENT EXAMPLE OF COUNCIL NEGLIGENCE AND DILIGENT FARMERS, "CAN TO LEAVE BATS ALONE."

IT MIGHT AT FIRST APPEAR "INNOCENT", AUTHORITY QUESTIONABLE. LET'S PUT IT IN PERSPECTIVE.

WHEN WE OR ANY OF THE 2000 OTHERS ON THIS ISLAND AND THE WESTERN FORESTONES SAY ANYTHING ABOUT THE CROSSING DISCRIMINATORY ACTIONS OF THE COUNCIL RE - PLANNING, WATER, SEWER ETC ETC WE ARE TOLD TO STAND UP, GO AWAY - "YOU CHOSE TO LIVE THERE, IT'S YOUR FAULT." QUOTE.

ONCE WE SEE THIS LIGHT, ON THE ACTIONS OF THE COUNCIL IN THIS CASE, "THE KUMLA BATS", WE SEE HOW THEY ACT TOWARDS THE WELL HELED RESIDENTS OF AVON, WHO HAVE BROUGHT THEM THAT WE DO NOT, DESPITE BEING ONLY two miles away, IF THAT; SO FAR DIFFERENT. HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS SPENT ON ONGOING EFFORTS,

\* USING PLANNING SYSTEM TO GENERATE REVENUE, NOT CONSERVE, PRESERVE + RETAIN.

CONTINUOUSLY TO EXPLOIT ADVICE AND AGAINST A VULNERABLE SPECIES, FOR A HANDFUL OF HOUSES OCCUPIED BY THOSE WHO PROPORT TO LOVE THEIR 'AUSSIE ICONS'.

BELARUS IS IN FACT ONE OF THOSE AT THE COMMUNAL WHO BELIEVES THAT IF WE LIVE ON THIS ISLAND WE MUST FOREVER "SUFFICE THE CONSEQUENCES," DESPITE THE FACT THAT IT IS PITMEATER COUNCIL WHO HAS PROMOTED AND ENCODED DEVELOPMENT HERE FOR 20 YEARS, WITHOUT THE LEGALITY BEING CHALLENGED SUPPORT INFRASTRUCTURE, THEREBY RUINING THIS ISLAND AND PITMEATER! - MAY - FOR MONEY OF COURSE. A CRIMINAL ACT? \*

IF THIS ATTITUDE HAS VAULTY, WHERE WILL <sup>WE</sup> RETURN FROM SYDNEY TO THE STATUS OF THE 1770'S, AND EVERYWHERE ELSE WITH IT?.. PITMEATER COUNCIL MUST BE HELD TO ACCOUNT, BY ABOLITION!. FURTHER TO THIS, PLEASE ALSO NOTE THAT NO FORMAL VOTE OF RESIDENTS HAS TAKEN PLACE UNDER PROPERLY SUPERVISED AND SCRUTINIZED CONDITIONS AND THAT THE COUNCIL HAVE NO PROOF OF THE NUMBERS THAT THEY ARE QUOTING, SUPPOSEDLY SUPPORTING THEM. SMOKE AND MIRRORS.

THIS IS JUST A RE-RUN OF THE GREENER / PEACOCK POUND 21 YEARS AGO. "IF YOU DON'T VOTE, YOU'RE NOT BOTHERED, SO YOU DON'T COUNT." IN THAT WAY A 20% MINORITY WERE ALLOWED TO HAVE THEIR WAY, 80% HAD NO VOICE. FRENCH, POLITICAL CRIME.

WE SHOULD CONSIDER THE WORDS OF GRANVILLE IN THE 1940'S - "POLITICAL LANGUAGE IS DESIGNED TO MAKE LIES SOUND TRUTHFUL AND MURDER RESPECTABLE, AND TO GIVE AN APPEARANCE OF SOLIDITY TO DUMÉ MUD."

WE WOULD ADD "AND THEY GET PAID A FORTUNE FOR IT AS WELL, OFF THE BANKS OF THOSE WHO ARE DOING WORK FOR A LIVING!"

THIS, AND ALL COUNCILS ARE POLITICAL, AND THAT IS THE PROBLEM. THERE SHOULD BE NO POLITICS, <sup>merely</sup> EFFICIENT MANAGEMENT OF THE PREVIOUSLY DEFINED BASIC SERVICES AT THE LOWEST COST

ACHIEVABLE. WE ARE DEVELOPED, MAMMYS, NOT DEVELOPING.  
INSTEAD THEY ARE AN EMPLOYMENT HUB FOR THOSE WHO CAN'T HACK  
IT ENOUGH OR CAN'T BE BOTHERED, PROTECTED BY UNIONS AND  
UNFONCERABLE BY STATE OR FEDERAL GOVT'S

AT THIS POINT WE NEED TO LOOK AT COOMA MONARO SHIRE COUNCIL  
AS WELL, IN THIS CONTEXT OF NON-VIABILITY, JOBS FOR THE BOYS  
ETC. WE SPEND 6 MONTHS OF THE YEAR HERE ON THIS ISLAND,  
AND 6 MONTHS IN THE "WILD COUNTRY", SNOW LINE AURORA IN  
THE GORGE COUNTRY NW OF COOMA, NSW. WHY?

WE ARE, AT OUR COST, FROM A 60 YEAR TID SACK, CARING FOR  
AND RE-HABILITATING 150 ACRES OF FOREST AND "GRASSLAND"  
DEGRADED BY PAST FARMING. NO MACHINES, BY HAND.

THERE IS NO RUNNING WATER, GAS, PHONE, POWER ETC. WE PAY FOR  
AND PROVIDE EVERYTHING AND HAVE NO PUBLIC ROAD FRONTAGE,  
ONLY A PRIVATE BACK OF WAY. (AND ONLY).

THE COUNCIL CHARGE \$800 P.A IN RATES FOR THIS, THE SAME  
VIBRATION AS IN SYDNEY, IN A 2105 METRO POST CODE, THE HARDEST  
RATED AREA, BAR ONE, IN SYDNEY. (NOTA BENE - PUTNAMOR IN  
2014 WAS THE SECOND HARDEST RATED AREA IN THE SYDNEY METRO  
ZONE!)

HOW CAN THIS BE? HOW INDEED, AS WE DON'T USE ANY COUNCIL  
<sup>IC</sup> SERVICES EXCEPT THE DIRT ROADS, AND IF WE GO TO COOMA EVERYTHING  
WE DO IS ON PRIVATE LAND? FURTHER, WE ARE DOING THE  
LANDSCAPE FOR NOTHING! HELPING THE COMMUNITY, NOT TAKING.

CMSA HAS AN AREA OF 5600 KM<sup>2</sup>. POPULATION 10,000 - 6000  
LIVE IN COOMA! SO, IN THE 99% OF THE AREA OUTSIDE COOMA THE  
AVGARE IS 1 PERSON PER K<sup>2</sup>! HARDLY ANY OF THE 4000 LHS HS  
WHO ARE BURZL AND RSHMOT HAVE, USE OR CAN USE ANY COUNCIL  
SERVICES AT ALL. WE ARE ALL SELF-SUFFICIENT AND IMPOSE NO  
COSTS ON THE COUNCIL - BUT WE ARE GET SWAGGED!

WHY? TOO MUCH MONEY SPENT ON 9 COUNCILLORS, YES, NINE!  
NINE COUNCILLORS, BUT NO AMOCATED HARDS, DUTIES OR BESP-

\* second incomes for local farmhand interests?  
communities.. lots of staff\* and costs such as paying for the Cooma stockyards out of public rates - why?  
to subsidize the farmhands who predominate, of course.  
inefficient, overpriced, over-manned is the answer, just the same as pitwater. politicized, inept and ruined by careers and self-interest. same old, same old.

when things get to this state of affairs something has to be done to stop this unfair, ridiculous over-chairmanship for things that cannot be used and are not required.

the how is to sack councils and form, as proposed, larger unitary bodies that have a proper income base and which can employ economies of scale. do this and remove the politics and politicians - by law, and things must work. we need "area representatives" not political councillors.

case is particularly in need, 10,000 people - nine councillors, two unions. how much does the financial manager get?

it's a remote area, low population, low income base and needs to be joined probably into a snowy mountains regional administrative department of state. otherwise for us 1000 the 'rates' are in fact just a land tax, which is illegal under the constitution, and therefore does not have to be paid.

likewise, pitwater council is relatively the same, but of course has a high income base, small area and only 60,000 people - it's compact and small; how do they manage to do such a bad job and spend all that money? too many hands out, costs too high for staff etc. overmanned and of course not doing the core jobs but 'expanding' into every nook and cranny of life in a rural area. the website says it all, and cannot even list the councillors - just like cmsc.

we now have the ridiculous headings "my council", "my community" "my property" "my environment" "my lifestyle"