

Author name: M. Coventry

Date of submission: Tuesday, 5 November 2024

Your submission for this review:

I'll keep this simple. So the primary argument for the price rise is the rising population. That makes no sense. With rising population also comes rising revenue. Therefore more money collected. No need to hit up the rest of us. That's one argument down. Secondly, developers pay the largest part of new installation costs. I know this because my husband chairs an affordable housing development company. So what have they been doing with the money they've been collecting in the meantime? That's justification number two dealt with. None of us voted for increasing the population. I refuse to pay the price for a policy that I did not support. Sydney Water lost me when they wasted money on expensive Shane Jabobsen ads telling us to save water when it did nothing but rain and flood for two years. Every time the ad came on, I looked out the window and it was...raining! Perhaps that money could have been used to repair a few pipes. Then they vilify gardeners for daring to water their gardens. Gardens, you know - the things that mitigate urban heat island effect - contributed to by jamming more people into Sydney. Looks like the only joined up thinking around here is mine. Certainly none from any level of govt or semi govt authority.