

Author name: Name suppressed

Date of submission: Wednesday, 26 November 2025

Your submission for this review:

1. The price of credits needs to be much higher to act as an incentive to avoid and minimise impact as required by the Act, and to make producing credits more attractive. The market needs to be more transparent, how can it be an incentive to reduce ecological impact if the proponent does not know how much the credit will cost. 2. no comment 3. Cant tell, the market is not public. the current price of credits is not publicly available. 4. Cant tell, the market is not public. the current price of credits is not publicly available. 5. Frustration in the low price and lack of transparency 6. Except in large projects it is the only market and it is dominated by the BCF that fix the price. How can there be any price increase if the government hides and puts a ceiling on the price. 7. There should be no variation allowed, as an biologist the concept is absurd 8. Cant tell, the market is not public. the current price of credits is not publicly available. The price of credits needs to be much higher to act as an incentive to avoid and minimise impact as required by the Act, and to make producing credits more attractive. The market needs to be more transparent, how can it be an incentive to reduce ecological impact if the proponent does not know how much the credit will cost. I cant see how auctions could work. I need to be anonymous as my assessors licence prohibits me saying anything negative about the Trust or the BOS. This is a problem for all assessors who are the main people using the BOS BCF.