

LG Submission Form 2020-2021

Submission date: 25 February 2020, 2:57PM

Receipt number: 83

Related form version: 9

Question	Response
IPART Special Variation Submission Form	
Industry	Local Government
Review	(LG) Special Variations & Minimum Rates 2020-2021
Document Reference	
Select Council	Lismore City Council
If you have any general feedback regarding your council's proposed SV, please leave your comments in the comment box below.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Due to no fault of the ratepayers we find ourselves in the situation of this bewildering and staggering SRV proposal; ie. no burden should be apportioned to the ratepayers.• Fault needs to be clearly identified as being past poor fiscal and operational management practices on the part of LCC – the elected representatives and senior management. It would appear that there has been no acceptance of responsibility for this and no plans for rectification of these LCC senior management issues. This SRV does not guarantee appropriate expenditure, financial sustainability or sustainable management into the future.• In our previous submission to LCC we sought from our elected representatives on LCC an understanding of the socio-economic realities and the significant negative impact that this SRV proposal would have on us and our community.• We have undergone floods (2017 was a shocker, with extensive damage to property and loss of livestock); we have felt the huge impact of drought (eg. 500mm below average rainfall last year) - limiting pasture growth and diminishing water supplies (eg. November 2019 hottest and driest on record, daytime temperatures 3.5 degrees above average); and then, to top it all off, we had bushfires.

- As our elected representatives LCC should have recognised that our community needs should have been paramount to any and all of LCC's other determinations. They had to be aware that their community was struggling! This SRV places additional and unsustainable demands on their already suffering and slowly recovering ratepayers.
 - No consideration was given when suggesting this SRV proposal that this local government area has generally one of the lower socio-economic demographics of rural NSW with LCC area having higher than the state average for unemployment and lower than the state average for taxable income (refer 1).
 - We are one family of five (with a severely disabled child), the other a retiree; we desire to reside in this regional area for the duration of our lives. However there seems little opportunity for Jeremy's children to remain in this area as they grow up and Robert's have already left.
- We do not seek LCC's financial support (or support of any kind), nor their sympathy (empathy should have been expected), we have generally always 'done it alone' (as most people in rural Australia do!) and see no foreseeable changes going forward.
- 2017-2018 statistics indicate that (refer 1):
 - o LCC employee costs, stand at approximately 28% of their recurrent budget, 10% above the state average for employee costs; and
 - o equivalent LCC full-time staff numbers stand at appropriately 431, the state average for local government employee numbers is 349; and
 - o LCC's road length per capita is 27.9kms, the state average road length per capita is 39.5kms; and
 - o LCC's infrastructure backlog is 13.6%, the state average 5.6%; and
 - o the LCC area has a decreasing population ie. minus 1.3% for the five years leading up to 2017-2018; and
 - o our LCC area unemployment rate is at 5.6%, the state average being 3.6%; and
 - o on the socio-economic index LCC stands at a lowly 45, with Low being 1 and High being 128, (eg. socio-economic index for Ballina is

	<p>92)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We said to LCC Councillors; Colleagues, and I do use that word hesitantly, because colleagues are individuals that work together with a shared sense of purpose & vision for the future! <p>ie. we consider ourselves to be resilient individuals (as are most Australians generally), but what LCC are proposing with this SRV, will have a significant negative impact on already diminishing household budgets, for the future!</p> <p>Respectfully yours, Jeremy Shand and Robert Stavrou</p> <p>PS. Our ratepayers already pay above the state wide average for all Ordinary Residential, Business or Farmland rates! (refer 1)</p> <p>References. 1) NSW Government – Office of Local Government https://yourcouncil.nsw.gov.au/council-data/lismore/2017/finances/</p>
Your comments on Criterion 1:	
Your comments on Criterion 2:	
Your comments on Criterion 3:	
Your comments on Criterion 4:	
Your comments on Criterion 5:	
If you have attachments you would like to include with your feedback, please attach them below.	
Your Details	
Are you an individual or organisation?	Individual
If you would like your submission or your name to remain confidential please indicate below.	Publish - my submission and name can be published (not contact details or email address) on the IPART website
First Name	Robert
Last Name	Stavrou
Organisation Name	
Position	
Email	
IPART's Submission Policy	I have read & accept IPART's Submission Policy