

Author name: e. ellis

Date of submission: Friday, 19 February 2021

Submission: The current approach by IPART seems totally unjust to me, and is a great discouragement for people to install solar rooftop systems. I am sure that many people only find out about the small input credits after they have installed solar panels.

I find it particularly unjust that I may only receive 3-7 cents from a retailer, yet they charge a customer up to 29 cents -- I feel that I am being ripped off, and I blame IPART for this entirely. Could you please explain your logic in the fairness with this approach.

The feed in tariff should be no less than five cents less than the charge for electricity – even they are still making a profit.

Example: retailer charging 29 cents, input credit should be 24 cents.

People who install solar systems are in effect helping the electricity providers from having to invest large funds into new generating power. They are also helping the Government solve a crisis. We should be rewarded, not ripped off. If this was acted upon consumers would receive input credits higher than the charge.