

30 September 2022

Dear Resident

Council is committed to delivering our vision to create a vibrant and sustainable environment in which people want to live, work and play over the next decade and beyond.

However, to realise this vision means we must take a pragmatic and honest view of ourselves and our financial ability to deliver against this vision. We can no longer 'kick the can down the road' and allow our children's children to pay for our inability to address the here and now. In fact this Council will not allow that to continue to happen. With significant external factors such as the seemingly ever-increasing escalation of goods and services, wage increases, inflation against two consecutive Council million-dollar budget deficits which include an existing reserve tightly balanced against any infrastructure or asset failure, the time for action is now.

A Financial Sustainability Review has recently been undertaken and completed by world leading local government professionals and the reality is - we are not financially sustainable.

Financial sustainability can be defined as the ability to meet the reasonable expectations of current residents in a way that does not put at risk the capacity of future generations to meet their own needs. Walcha Council is not financially sustainable and hasn't been so since at least 2013 when the NSW Treasury Corporation declared it as 'weak' with a 'negative' outlook. More recently a team of three international professors established that matters have deteriorated significantly and now require urgent redress.

Accordingly, Council has resolved that it will apply to the Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal (IPART) for a Special Rate Variation (SRV) for the 2023-24 year. A special rate variation allows Council to increase rates by more than the annual IPART rate cap. Ratepayers can find more information about the SRV process at: <u>https://www.ipart.nsw.gov.au/Home/Industries/Local-Government/Special-Variations</u>

The purpose of the SRV is to become financially sustainable, maintain service levels wherever possible, and be able to proceed with planned asset renewals despite a challenging operating environment.

The cumulative impact of the Special Rate Variation is substantial and sits at 57.74 percent over three years, including the assumed rate peg. This will be a permanent increase to the rate base¹. At the end of this Fact Sheet we provide tables to show the average impact (in both percent and dollar terms) for each of the three main rating categories – residential, farm, and business.

Prior to determining the size of the SRV requested of IPART, Council considered other options, which may have led to a reduction or elimination of some services Council provides. For the 2021/22 budget, Council did significant work to improve efficiency and along with the recent organisational restructure Council has improved its position from the previous year. However, against escalating external costs such as the provision of goods and services, fuel increases, rising staff costs and inflation - whatever internal efficiencies that may have been gained will fall well short of a sustainable future.

Other plans Council have in place is to (i) reduce the number of Councillors to just five, (ii) actively campaign for a fairer grants system, and (iii) take on debt to buy productive assets and thus save considerably on future rental expenditures

¹ This means that after 2025-26 rates will not go down again, but instead be increased by the rate peg set by IPART which Councils are advised to assume to be 2.5% per annum.

FACT SHEET: Application for a Special Rate Variation



The need for a SRV responds to a structural imbalance in the operating budget that has persisted for at least a decade. Quite simply, Council's required expenditure for staff, materials, and contracts exceeds its revenue in most years (see Table 5 below).

If we don't get the SRV approved then it is unlikely that Walcha Council can become financially sustainable. Indeed, to ensure sufficient sustainable cash flows it would be necessary to reduce expenditure by approximately \$2M, noting some service levels are dependent upon external grant funding. This 'managed decline' may require Council to reduce or cut services which <u>may</u> include:

- Reducing road maintenance;
- Reducing level of service for parks, gardens, town maintenance and appearance;
- Closing some sporting fields;
- Reduce hours or close our library, swimming pool, gym;
- Reduce activity in our tourism area along with any economic development activation programs;
- Unable to bid for new assets through grants (due to lack of funds to match grants).

We believe that the community would not find the resulting significant decline in infrastructure and services acceptable.

Council is very conscious of the hardship that the Special Rate Variation might cause and is currently reviewing its Hardship Policy, which will soon be out for your feedback.

Accordingly, we have arranged for a number of different kinds of community engagement events:

- 1. The community is requested to fill in the attached survey and return it to Council in person or by mail to PO Box 2, Walcha, 2354.
- 2. Council will soon be posting short videos to its website to further elaborate on this Fact Sheet.
- 3. Council will hold seven (7) public meetings to present the case for an SRV and receive community feedback:
- Europambela: Monday 10 October 2022 at 11.30 am;
- Ingleba Hall: Monday 10 October 2022 at 2.30 pm;
- Yarrowitch Hall: Wednesday 12 October 2022 at 9am;
- Brockley Park Woolshed: Wednesday 12 October 2022 at 11.30am;
- Nowendoc Hall: Wednesday 12 October 2022 at 2.30pm;
- Woolbrook: Wednesday 12 October 2022 at 6pm;
- Walcha Bowling Club: Wednesday 19 October 2022 at 4pm.
- 4. Council will conduct two listening posts at the Walcha Street Stall Booth:
- Monday 17 October 2022 from 10am to 2pm, and
- Tuesday 18 October 2022 from 10am to 2pm

How will the SRV Impact on Rates?

The following tables outline the average annual changes for each rating category, as well as the overall impact for Council, in both percentage and dollar terms. Cumulative increase is presented for the five years in percentage and dollar terms (note figures are rounded to the nearest dollar).

FACT SHEET: Application for a Special Rate Variation



Table 1. Impact on Average Farmland Rate of an s508A Permanent Special Variation of 36.5% 8% 7%

Proposed Rates	Base Year	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Cumulative
							Increase
Financial Year	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	
Farmland Category							
Average rate under	4142.75	4246.32	4352.48	4461.29	4572.82	4687.14	544.39
assumed rate peg							
Annual increase under		2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	13.14
rate peg (%)							
Average rate after	4142.75	5654.85	6107.24	6534.75	6698.12	6865.57	2722.82
proposed SRV							
Annual increase with		36.50	8.00	7.00	2.50	2.50	65.72
SRV (%)							
Cumulative impact of		1512.10	1964.49	2392.00	2555.37	2722.82	
SRV above Base year							
levels							
Difference between SRV		1408.54	1754.77	2073.46	2125.30	2178.43	
and rate peg only							
scenarios							

Table 2. Impact on Average Residential Rate of a s508A Permanent Special Variation of 36.5% 8% 7%

Proposed Rates	Base Year	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Cumulative
							Increase
Financial Year	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	
Residential Category							
Average rate under assumed rate peg	526.83	540.00	553.50	567.34	581.52	596.06	69.23
Annual increase under rate peg (%)		2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	13.14
Average rate after proposed SRV	526.83	719.12	776.65	831.02	851.79	873.09	346.26
Annual increase with SRV (%)		36.50	8.00	7.00	2.50	2.50	65.72
Cumulative impact of SRV above Base year levels		192.29	249.82	304.19	324.96	346.26	
Difference between SRV and rate peg only scenarios		179.12	223.15	263.68	270.27	277.03	

FACT SHEET: Application for a Special Rate Variation



Table 3. Impact on Average Business Rate of a s508A Permanent Special Variation of 36.5% 8% 7%

Proposed Rates	Base Year	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Cumulative
							Increase
Financial Year	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	
Business Category							
Average rate under assumed rate peg	879.82	901.82	924.36	947.47	971.16	995.44	115.62
Annual increase under rate peg (%)		2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	13.14
Average rate after proposed SRV	879.82	1200.95	1297.03	1387.82	1422.52	1458.08	578.26
Annual increase with SRV (%)		36.50	8.00	7.00	2.50	2.50	65.72
Cumulative impact of SRV above Base year levels		321.13	417.21	508.00	542.70	578.26	
Difference between SRV and rate peg only scenarios		299.14	372.67	440.35	451.36	462.65	

Table 4. Impact on Total Rate Revenue of a s508A Permanent Special Variation of 36.5% 8% 7%

Proposed Rates	Base Year	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Cumulative
							Increase
Financial Year	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	
Baseline Scenario							
Total Notional Rates Income (\$'000) under assumed rate peg (no SRV)	3697.00	3789.43	3884.16	3981.26	4080.80	4182.82	485.82
Annual Increase under rate peg (%)		2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	13.14
Proposed SRV							
Total Notional Rate Income (\$'000) after proposed SRV	3697.00	5046.41	5450.12	5831.63	5977.42	6126.85	2429.85
Annual Increase with SRV (%)		36.50	8.00	7.00	2.50	2.50	65.72
Cumulative impact of SRV above Base Year levels		1349.41	1753.12	2134.63	2280.42	2429.85	
Difference between SRV and rate peg only scenarios		1256.98	1565.96	1850.36	1896.62	1944.04	



Table 5. Walcha Council Operating Results (\$'000)

Financial Year	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22
Revenue	18,014	19,985	28,684	26,060
Expenses	16,691	17,441	20,047	19,535
Operating Result Including Capital	1,323	2,544	8,637	6,525
Grants				
Operating Result Excluding Capital	-1,133	141	-1,100	-276
Grants				
Unrestricted Cash	1,259	208	-2,459	-2,086
Rates and Annual Charges Revenue	4,660	4,924	5,184	5,279





Council intends to apply to the Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal (IPART) for a special rates variation (SRV) which will result in a cumulative increase to rates of 57.74 percent over three years (please see tables in the Fact Sheet for details of the average increase to each category over this period).

The purpose of the SRV is to become financially sustainable, maintain service levels wherever possible, and be able to proceed with planned asset renewals despite a challenging operating environment.

Survey Questions – please tick ☑ the most appropriate option

1. How satisfied are you with the standard of maintenance of Council infrastructure (for example roads, footpaths, bridges, buildings, parks)?

□ Very satisfied; □ Satisfied; □ Neutral; □ Dissatisfied; □ Very dissatisfied

2. How satisfied are you with the standard of Council services (for example rubbish collection, nuisance animal control, customer service)?

□ Very satisfied; □ Satisfied; □ Neutral; □ Dissatisfied; □ Very dissatisfied

Please read the appended Fact Sheet and then tick ☑ the option you most agree with:

3. Do you believe that it is acceptable to fund current consumption of local government goods and services through debt (which will be ultimately paid for by future generations of ratepayers)?

□ Yes, if carefully applied to long-lived assets only

□ No, I don't agree that our children and grandchildren should be left with additional public debt.

- 4. After reading the Fact Sheet are you now aware of the effect that the proposed special rate variation will have on the average rates paid by each category of ratepayer at Walcha over the five year period up to 2027-28?
- □ Yes, I am now aware of the proposed SRV and its effect on average rates.

□ No, I am not aware of the SRV and its effect on average rates.

5. After reading the Fact Sheet are you now aware that the proposed special rate variation is needed so that Council can become financially sustainable?

□ Yes, I am aware that the purpose of the SRV is to allow Council to fund current operations.

□ No, I am not aware that the proposed SRV is required to fund Council operations.



6. Are you aware of the options available to obtain further information commencing October, 2022?

□ Yes, I am aware of meetings, videos, the professor's reports, and listening post options which I can use to obtain further information as outlined in the Fact Sheet.

□ No, I am not aware of the options outlined in the Fact Sheet.

7. Given the need for additional revenue to balance the budget and ensure financial sustainability – a proposition held by Professor Drew, Professor Miyazaki, and Professor Ferreira as well as TCorp (Treasury Corporation) and IPART (pre-2016) – which of the three options do you prefer?

□ I prefer the status quo and acknowledge that several external parties state that this will mean that Council will remain financially unsustainable. I understand that continued financial unsustainability may result in regulatory interventions.

□ I would prefer to reduce staff by 10%, capital expenditure by 15% or a combination of the two. I acknowledge that cuts of this kind would result in a very significant decline to local government services and local employment.

 \Box I accept the need for the proposed additional SRV.

8. If you chose the proposed special rate variation above, are there any conditions regarding your willingness to pay?

.....

.....

9. Do you have any specific feedback that you would like Council or IPART to consider with respect to the proposed special rate variation?

.....

.....

Please note that the full SRV application will be posted to the Council's website, and that members of the public may also make submissions directly to IPART at:

https://www.ipart.nsw.gov.au/Home/Industries/Local-Government/For-Councils/Apply-fora-special-variation-or-minimum-rate-increase

Survey for Community Meeting



1. Given the need for additional revenue to balance the budget and return to financial sustainability – a proposition held by TCorp (Treasury Corporation, 2013), Professor Drew, Professor Miyazaki, Professor Ferreira– which of the three options do you prefer?

□ I prefer the status quo and acknowledge that several external parties state that this will mean that Council will remain financially unsustainable. I understand that continued financial unsustainability may result in regulatory interventions.

□ I would prefer the status quo and to reduce staff by 10%, capital expenditure by 15% or a combination of the two. I acknowledge that cuts of this kind would result in a very significant decline to local government services and local employment.

□ I accept the need for the proposed additional SRV.

2. If you filled in the previous survey, is the above response the same as you made last time?

- □ Yes
- 🗆 No

3. Do you have a preference for the timing of the SRV annual increases?

□ I prefer to have a larger increase up-front and a shorter duration (i.e. over less than 3 years)

□ I prefer to have a smaller increase up-front and a longer duration (i.e. over more than 3 years) even though this may make Council financially vulnerable.

□ What is proposed seems to be the best balance, given Council's needs.

4. Feedback to Council

.....

5. Feedback to IPART

.....