William Craig

for Campbelltown and Districts Commuter Assoc.

The Chairperson, LPART

Private Buses, Fare Review

Dear Sir/Madam,

It is in our interests to comment on the operations of private buses, especially in the Western Suburbs of Sydney. The minimum quality service provided by bus operators is relatively expensive to users, slow and not appealing to many except the few that **do** not have a **car**.

In your issues paper on pricing for taxis, ferries and private buses,

- Section 2.2, the passenger levels of private vs. STA, I do not agree. If this included school children as well, it might be so. But considering that only 8% of people go to WORK by public transport in the West of Sydney, and trains carry 5%, this means 3% of people go to work by bus and taxi. Is the TDC correct? Some peak buses arriving and departing from Campbelltown have as little as 6 or 8 people on them!
- Section 3.2, the PTA is restrictive. This is creating "monopoly" areas. Any incursion by another operator dropping off or picking up passengers is only permitted by the monopoly operator. Often, not even the same bus stop can be used by this "hosted" operator and must create their own. The minimum service charter and operating "guidelines" are laid out in the PTA.
- Fares are cheaper for the STA as 5.2 displays. Western bus travellers must also travel longer distances due to fewer rail lines there is no other option for them without buying and using a car. Their travel patterns are not necessarily to travel to the CBD. The traveller that uses a bus to a rail station most probably will be travelling to the Sydney CBD. This incurs a cost of double that of merely travelling by rail. It is interesting to note that around 70% of jobs are west of Lidcombe Most industrial and many commercial centres are not located near a rail line. Fare discounting is limited to only a couple of private operators. These are the ones with electronic purse systems.
- Travel on private buses is relatively expensive. An example for you.
  - Bradbury to Camden South is around 25 kilometres, \$6.30 per trip, \$63per week and includes a change of bus.
  - Compared with a new car of 6.5 litres/100Km, purchase/running cost of \$100 per week and fuel/week would be \$13.50.
  - The example travel time is around 1 hour compared with 13 minutes by car.
  - It would be a lot longer except travel this way is in the contra peak.
  - The car allows other journeys as well, especially after bus operations go off peak or stop altogether.
- **Most people don't use buses.** They have **3** to 5 cars per family. Buses are for the poorer people or those that don't have a car. They get charged top dollar. The bus company must make a profit and keep its shareholders happy.

#### Reflections.

• More cars, more roads, more pollution.

- Buses get caught in other road traffic and proceed at the same pace.
- Buses go on circuitous routes to arrive at their destination.
- Travel time is slower. For example, 1 hour in the above example, 13 minutes by car.

Private operators are not service oriented Although they are a supplier of transport, in most cases shareholders are more important than customers. There is a large market but there is no incentive to capture any more. This would mean extra buses needed to be purchased which means fewer dividends to the shareholders. It is pretty obvious that if these operators did not get the fees in the form of a yearly ticket for carrying school children, many of their marginal operations would not be in service. This is a form of subsidy. Yet these operators say that they do better than public operations (STA) because they can run at a profit!

Until recently, my 3 children received 2 bus passes each because they had to travel on 2 operators' buses. This was \$900-t each pass times 6 to travel on Monday afternoon **only** from school. Their mother is a teacher at their school and Monday afternoon is the school meeting time for teachers. For this reason, bus operators often get fees for not carrying children, which adds to the enormous public liability and should be addressed as fee for service.

The reality is that poor bus operations in the West of Sydney add to the social impact. This is summarised as:

- \$5000 per year per person on cars (driver).
- Under 16 and over 60 are left out of the Australian dream social inequity.
- Isolation and loneliness is rife amongst the socially poor.
- Large transport arteries separate towns and parts of the city.
- Suburban sprawl is encouraged.
- Food producing land is consumed on the outer rim and is relocated further afield, which adds transport costs to the final product and more freight movements as well.
- Energy consumption is excessive (around 20,000 tonnes per day for Sydney).
- Pollution is excessive.
- Roads kill and maim more than a medium sized war.
- Public space deteriorates or disappears. This can be seen in the **M5** East construction and the proposed **M6** or Windsor Road or Sunnyholt Road.
- We are experiencing a crisis of mobility because of choked roads.

#### **A** lot of this is because

- Private operators will not allow others in to take their share of possible patrons as laid out in the PTA
- Private operators will not provide sufficient transport for the masses. This can be seen where travelling commuters and school children coincide in the morning peak.
- Minimum numbers of users mean maximum fares under the guidelines of the PTA and permitted by IPART
- Because they are private, shareholders and profits are the major interest.
- It is only because of legislation or government action that these operators have been influenced to begin to upgrade their operations.

I have been nominated to present this submission. We hope you will find this information useful in your determinations.

Yours sincerely

Millia &

BUMPER TO BUMPER: Transport planners say Sydney will have Los

Angeles-type gridlock in 15 years if traffic continues to grow at its present



# The road to chaos

By REBECCA THURLOW

N 1992 a secret Roads and Traffic Authority report revealed Sydney was facing a 600 per cent increase in traffic congsstion.

Australian cities are among the most car dependent in the world, second only to those in the US.

In the 20 years to 1991, car ownership in Sydney has increased 30pc to 1.3 cars per household. attributable to urban sprawl, dispersion of job locations, rising affluence and insufficient public transport, as well as Australians' love of their cars.

In 30 years the population of Sydnry has grown 70pc and its area has more than doubled, but apart from two modest extensions, no new rail lines were added.

If trends continue, car ownership could increase from one car for every two adults to about one per adult by early next century, rarlecting US trends.

Transport planners and academics have given Sydney 15

years in which to accelerate its investment in public transport and moderate traffic growth or risk a Los Angelrs-style future of traffic gridlock, high road taxes and deteriorating air quality.

# **6** In 20 years. . . car ownership in Sydney has increased 30pc **9**

This week, National Public Transport Week, environmental groups will focus community attention on the changes needed to make our cities better places to live in and reduce the production of greenhouse gases and air pollution.

On Wednesday, World Environment Day, the Australian Conservation Foundation (ACF) hosts its third annual Public Transport Derby

About 10 teams of five people will make their way to as man. of 50 destinations across their city as the: can in a limited time, using only public transport.

"It is an opportunity to show that public transport can get you to a lot of places, can be fun and is a reliable and practical way of travelling," said Peter Wright of the ACE.

He said the race was still in pilot form and that XCF hoped to get hundreds of members of the public involved next year.

The Environmental Protection Authority is urging the public to call their Pollution Line on 131 555 to report smoky vehicles — when exhaust smokes continue for more than 10 seconds.

Information Officer Sandra Guy said the Pollution Line received about 800 reports of smoky vehicles every month.

On Monday and Tuesday a conference on Health and Urban Air Quality in NSW will be held by NSW Health and the EPA at Parramatta Riverside Theatre. To register call (02) 876 3290.

To find out how you can take cart in Public Transport Week activities, call Libby Diedrich at the Australian Conservation Foundation on (92) 247 4235.

- Travers Morgan Freight Bounty Report. Cost: \$82,302.00
- National Survey Research review of sleeper motorail and dining car services to northern NSW. Survey to establish demand for services. Cost: \$40,000.00.
- ו Gutteridge Haskins and Davey Environmental Impact Statement (Parramatta River Ferry). Cost: \$94,599.30.
- Gutteridge Haskins and Davey Chatswood Interchange. Cost: \$65,000.00
- Travis Partner Pty Ltd Gladesville Ferry Wharf. Cost: \$60,000.00.
- \* Roger Graham and Associates Implementation of the Passenger Transport Act 1990. Cost: \$127,400.00
- Andersen Consulting Development of Information Technology Strategic Plan. Cost: \$52,500.00.

Total cost of consultancies over \$30,000 is \$521,801.30.

There were a further 81 consultancy engagements costing a total of \$712,417.92; individuals on a contract basis to undertake work amounting to \$72,318.67. none of these individually exceeded \$30,000. The Department engaged

# COMMITTEES

Committees chaired by the Department of Transport

AIRPORT LINK STEERING COMMITTEE

Chair - Ron Brown

Acting Deputy Director-General - Department of Transport

- "• John Walker Department of Transport
- Donna Rygate (Executive Officer) Department of Transport
- John Pash Premier's Department
- Barry Garnham City Rail

Bruce Nicholls – State Development

- Jeff Bateson NSW Treasury
- Sue Holliday Department of Planning
- Brian McCarthy Office of Public Management

Heritage Trains Insurance Working Party ■ HERITAGE TRAINS STEERING COMMITTEE

Bill Duffield – Sedgwick James

- Gareth Grainger State Rail Authority John Walker – Department of Transport
- Heritage Trains Accreditation Working Party Cathy Dowe – Department of Transport
- Andrew: Killingsworth Rail Transport Museum
- Professor Glastonbury 3801
- Erwin Buxton WorkCover Authority
- Cathy Dowe Department of Transport
- Terry Hatton State Pail Authoriti

GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTION TO BUS CHAOS

TEEGRAPH MIRROR 2-9-91

# Bus services turning into 'monopolies'

SYDNEYS bus passengers have been taken far a ride according to a leading academic, who has aired his gripes in a 30-page book on our bus services.

Dr Tony Sorensen, a senior lecturer in-Planning at the University of New England, said private bus operations had turned into highly regulated monopolies, which often ran unreliable services.

vices.
"It is about time the private bus service was deregulated," he said.
"We have recently

"We have recently witnessed airline deregulation in Australia, with some immediate price advantages to the consumer.



### By JANE WORTHINGTON

"Long distance coaches have been deregulated for some time and it does not require too much imagination to see that a deregulated bus system could have the Same benefits."

have the Same benefits."

Dr Sorensen criticised the Transport Department's auctioning of exclusive franchises to bus companies.

"The whole system is very heavily regulated and each operator has his own 'territory on which competitors cannot encroach," he said.

Dr Sorensen said services in newly-developing regions of Sydney's fringes should be provided by numerous operators, with relatively free entry to the market.

If some areas did not attract bidders then the government could arrange subsidies, he said.

Table 1: Sydney Public and Private Passenger Trips 1946-1981

ANNUAL PASSENGER TRIPS - SYDNEY REGION - 1946-81				
	Trips per Private Vehicle p.a.	Proportion of All Trips by Private vehicle %	Public Transport - Trips p.a.	
1946-7	780	13	850 million	
1960	1000	47	586 million	
1971	1220	72	571 million	
1981	2381	87	457 million	

(Source: Spearitt P & DeMarco C - Planning Sydney's Future p 28 Alan & Unwin 1988)

Whilst the above figure shows that all public transport modes suffered declines it is noteworthy that a disproportionate decline occurred in the government bus/tram area paralleling the removal of trams in the period 1948-1961. This is shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Sydney Public Modal Passenger Trips 1947-1986

	SYDNEY PUBLIC TRANSPORT USE					
Passenger Trips (millions) & Percentage to Base Year (1947)						
	Rail		Bus/Tram		Ferry	
Year	Trips	%	Trips	%	Trips	%
1947	245	100	496	100	32	100
1957	249	102	293	59	17	53
1966	242	99	232	47	13	41
1976	178	73	166	33	10	31
1986	216	88	175	35	18	56

(Source: Spearitt P & DeMarco C - Planning Sydney's Future p 135 Alan & Unwin 1988)

PRIVATE BUSES OPERATIONS CANNOT PENETRATE SUBURBIA WITHOUT THE "SUBSIDY FROM SCHOOL OHILD OPENATIONS.

## STATE TRANSIT AUTHORITY- BUS PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

YEAR	FLEET	. PATRONAGE	KILOMETRES TRAVELLED	FARE BOX REVENUE	COSTS PER PASSENGER
		(MILLIONS)	_(MILLIONS)	(\$MILLIONS)	(\$)
1988	1564	203		127.48	1.88
1991	1441	198.5	58.9	142.6	1.80
1995	1478	193.4	61.4	159.7	1.58
1996	1557	203.3	65.7	179.8	1.60

# CITYRAIL PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

YEAR	PATRONAGE	KILOMETRES TRAVELLED	CARRIAGE UTILISATION
	(MILLIONS)	(MILLIONS)	(%)
1988	242.6	32.9	а3
1991	243.8	33.3	83
1993	229.8	33.8	84
1995	249.6	34.6	84
1996	256.4	35.7	85
FORECAST END 96/97	266	36.2	88