

Our Reference: C-114-7

Enquiries: Direct Phone: Direct Fax:



Ms Alison Milne
Director – Local Government
Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal
PO Box Q290
QVB Post Office NSW 1230

Dear Ms Milne,

Subject: Intention to Apply for Section 508A Special Rate Variation

I write to advise you of the City of Canterbury's intention to apply for a permanent multiyear Special Rate Variation under Section 508A of the Local Government Act.

The purpose of this variation is to provide sufficient funds to continue to provide the range and level of services our community requires, whilst also meeting infrastructure maintenance and renewal requirements. Over the past six years we have achieved significant efficiencies, generating savings of \$5 million per year and reducing staff from 700 to 530 without corresponding increases in contractor costs. However increases in population over this period have resulted in a rise in demand for services, and more up-to-date forecasts of the costs of maintaining and improving our infrastructure have resulted in an estimated shortfall from 2014-15 of approximately \$12.5 million per year.

Over the past six months we have engaged our community to determine the appropriate levels of services and infrastructure and the level of rate increase they would be willing to support. The characteristics of their preferred approach were:

- Maintain as many services as possible, including libraries and pools, especially considering projected future population increases;
- Combine a range of strategies, including additional income, fee increases, service reductions, borrowing, and rate increases;
- Accept as little infrastructure deterioration as possible;
- Find as much efficiency in council operations as possible;
- · Have a rate increase that is 'middle of the road'; and
- · Borrow so that infrastructure maintenance and renewal is shared with future generations.

At the meeting on 28 November 2013 Council adopted this approach and resolved to advise IPART of the intention to apply for the rate increase that it included. The relevant Council Report with Minute is attached.

C:\DOCUME~I\Wendyw\LOCALS~I\Temp\XPgrpwise\Letter 131211 rsr ipart intent to apply for srv_2.docx

Canterbury City Council, Administration Centre 137 Beamish Street • PO Box 77 Campsie NSW 2194

When writing to Council please address your letter to the GENERAL MANAGER, MR JIM MONTAGUE

Phone: (02) 9789 9300 Fax: (02) 9789 1542 TTY: (02) 9789 9617 DX 3813 Campsie

email:council@canterbury.nsw.gov.au website:www.canterbury.nsw.gov.au

ABN: 55 150 306 339

The approach adopted included:

- reductions in services to achieve savings of approximately \$0.5 million per year;
- acceptance of a deterioration in infrastructure standards arising from a reduction in expenditure on maintenance and renewal of \$1.5 million per year;
- increased fees to users of sporting fields and community centres to generate \$0.2 million per year;
- achieving further efficiencies in council operations of \$1.0 million per year;
- borrowing \$36.5 million for infrastructure renewal and repaying this over 30 years;
- a rate increase of 4.6% above the rate cap per year for three years; and
- the rate cap for the next three years is estimated to be 2.9% per annum.

Subject to the outcome of further communication and consultation with our community, we intend to apply for a Special Rate Variation of 7.5% each year for three years commencing in 2014-15. In the third year this is anticipated to yield an additional \$13.1 million annually, or \$8.3 million annually above rate cap increases.

This increase would be applied to both residential and business rates, with the apportionment of the increase between these types yet to be determined.

For further information please contact our Group Manager — Corporate and Economic Development, David Coleman, on

Yours sincerely

Jim Montague PSM GENERAL MANAGER

11 December 2013

OFFICERS REPORTS

4 SPECIAL RATE VARIATION COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT PROGRAM UPDATE

FILE NO:

C-114-7

REPORT BY:

GENERAL MANAGER

Summary:

- It is our intention to apply to IPART for Special Rate Variations in early 2014 ready to commence in the 2014-15 financial year.
- We are currently undertaking a program of community engagement to involve our community in determining the level and timing of Special Rate Variations they are willing to support.
- Over the past four months we have worked with a randomly selected representative sample of the Canterbury community (a deliberative group, called the Community Working Group) to determine the preferred mix of rate increases and service reductions.
- This report outlines the deliberative group process, and the option preferred by the Community Working Group.
- The report recommends adoption of a position on the mix of rate increases and service reductions for the purpose of further engagement with the wider Canterbury community, and amendment of the Community Strategic Plan, Council Delivery Program Strategic Asset Management Plan, and Long Term Financial Plan, all adopted on 27 June 2013.

Council Delivery Program and Budget Implications:

This report recommends adoption of a position on the mix of rate increases and service reductions for the purpose of further community engagement prior to an application to the Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal (IPART) for Special Rate Variations to commence in the 2014-15 financial year. It supports our long term goals of Healthy Finances, Engaged Community and Effective Governance.

Report:

Following extensive community consultation, it is proposed to apply to IPART for Special Rate Variations in early 2014 ready to commence in the 2014-15 financial year. To be successful in our application we must have completed the review of our Integrated Plans and undertaken a program of engagement to involve our community in determining the level of Special Rate Variations they are willing to support. We must advise IPART of our intention to apply, and specify the size and timing of the rate variation proposed, by 13 December 2013. We must submit our application to IPART by 24 February 2013.

A workshop for Councillors covering the planned community engagement program and the need for Special Rate Variations as indicated by our Long Term Financial Plan was held on 8 May 2013. Councillors who attended the workshop expressed general support for the long term financial direction and the community engagement program explained at the workshop.

At the subsequent Council meeting on 23 May 2013, a program of community engagement was endorsed and included:

- 1. A Project Steering Group, advising on how best to engage our diverse community.
- 2. A deliberative group (the Community Working Group), a randomly selected representative sample of the Canterbury community, with which a series of conversations would be conducted about the challenges facing council and the ways in which they could be resolved.
- 3. Engagement of the broader community whereby the conversations with the deliberative group can be made as publicly available as possible, and feedback can be gathered.
- 4. Council and organisation support.

This report provides an update on the progress of this engagement program, and presents the Community Working Group's preferred option.

Project Steering Group

The Project Steering Group (PSG) has provided advice on the process of engagement. The group was selected through a call for expressions of interest distributed to a range of community organisations. The PSG has provided useful insight and advice on the formation and operation of the Community Working Group, and the activities and approach needed to ensure the broader engagement is effective. The PSG will meet again several times over the next four months continuing to further advise on the engagement with the wider community.

Engagement with the wider community

We continue to invite contributions to the Rates and Services Review via the website: http://haveyoursaycanterbury.com.au. Posters, flyers and feedback forms have also been distributed to Libraries, Childrens Centres, Senior Citizens Centres and to our community networks. Language assistance is also available via our Customer Service Centre for those who need it.

We are also continuing with other broader community engagement activities, including using our enewsletter, council column, social media such as Facebook and Twitter feed, council app, and posters and flyers distributed across the city to promote this website and invite people to participate in the review. Advertisements have also been placed in local English and community language newspapers.

We are planning face to face engagement, including visits and presentations to community groups; and a series of community forums around Canterbury in December 2013 – January 2014.

Community Working Group

Recruitment of the Community Working Group was undertaken by an independent market research company, IRIS research. They utilised random survey methodology to recruit 36 community members with an appropriate gender, age, and geographical distribution. 24 of these agreed to participate. A demographic profile of the group was obtained at the first meeting, and has previously been distributed to councillors. It shows the group is representative of the Canterbury community.

Over a period of four months the Community Working Group has met six times, each time for several hours, working through series of structured conversations to gain a better understanding about what council does and how much it costs, what the challenge is and how it might be solved, and to work together towards a solution that the whole group could support.

• Meeting 1 – 1 August 2013:

The group was introduced to each other, to the rates and services review program, and to the issue. They developed a list of questions about council rates and services. Council staff prepared answers to these questions for discussion at the second meeting.

• Meeting 2 – 20 August 2013:

The answers to the questions from the first meeting were reviewed and discussed. There was then a discussion around three scenarios for service reductions and rate increases. A number of things emerged as common values when considering the impact of reducing the services council provides. This then lead to a discussion and development of a shared definition of the challenge facing council. The group expressed the challenge facing council:

"How can council maintain the services needed to improve the high quality of life in Canterbury, keep costs down and find alternative sources of income so that rates can be kept as low as possible, and clearly demonstrate the need for any increase in rates?"

• Meeting 3 – 11 September 2013:

The group were provided with profiles for sixteen different service areas and asked to consider how important each service area was. They brainstormed possible strategies to solve the challenge, thinking specifically about the service areas and their income, service levels, and costs, coming up with over 50 different ideas. Between meeting 3 and meeting 5, Council staff reviewed these to see which ones had already been implemented, which ones were feasible, and how much benefit they might provide.

• Meeting 4 – 9 October 2013:

The group discussed the future they wanted for the City of Canterbury and the services needed to achieve this desired future. They developed a list of key features of a good solution to the challenge which formed evaluation criteria.

• Meeting 5 – 23 October 2013:

The group discussed the feasibility of the ideas that were generated at the third meeting. They then generated and evaluated options. The initial evaluation resulted in considerable discussion about the application of the criteria, and it was agreed that no clear preferred option(s) had emerged. It was also agreed that the evaluation needed to be repeated at the next meeting in a way that included a way of interpreting the criteria and weightings for the criteria.

• Meeting 6-7 November 2013:

The group refined the criteria, generated new options, and evaluated them. Again no clear preferred option emerged. The group then discussed the features common to all options and came up with a new option that they all could support.

The preferred option

In 2014-15 our forecast annual deficit is \$12.5 million. The Community Working Group created an option to meet this deficit that combined increases in user fees, ways to generate additional income, reductions in services and standards of infrastructure, efficiencies in council operations, borrowing and rate increases that they could all support. The ideas for these different components were generated by the Community Working Group and assessed for feasibility and potential benefit by council staff.

The characteristics of this option that meant it could be supported were:

- Maintain as many services as possible, especially libraries and pools, and considering the projected future population increases;
- Combine a bit of all the components, including additional income, fee increases, service reductions, borrowing, and rate increases;
- Accept as little infrastructure deterioration as possible;
- Find as much efficiency in council operations as possible;
- Have a rate increase that was 'middle of the road'
- Accept borrowing because the current generation should not have to pay for the consequences of inadequate infrastructure maintenance in the past, this should be shared with future generations.

The option preferred by the Community Working Group is as follows:

Option preferred by Community Working Group		
Initiative	Benefit	
Increase income through commercial activities or user fees	\$0.2 million	
- Increase charges to users of sporting fields and community facilities.	:	
- Lease areas of aquatic centres and parks for income generating activities.		
- Undertake commercial operations eg. garage that earn income.		
Service reductions	\$0.5 million	
- Close outdoor pools alternately during winter, but leave indoor pools		
open.		
- Reduce the frequency of street cleaning and focus on most important		
areas.		
- Have just one large festival per year.		
- Reduce free nature strip mowing.		
Achieve further efficiencies in council operations	\$1 million	
- Review excess for public liability insurance.		
- Outsource management of the aquatic centres.		
- Discontinue more expensive payment channels, encourage more direct		
debit payments and charge for credit card payments, and issue rates		
notices by email to reduce postage costs.		
- Pay staff fortnightly, use electronic payslips.		
- In partnership with community groups provide more legal walls for		
graffiti to reduce cost of removal in other areas.		
- Achieve savings through implementing the dumped rubbish minimisation.		
strategy, changes to structure of regulatory services, and outsourcing		
Information Technology where appropriate.		

Option preferred by Community Working Group		
Initiative	Benefit	
- Provide Women's Rest Centres services through partnership with		
community organisations.		
Infrastructure deterioration	\$1.5 million	
- Accept some deterioration in roads, footpaths, parks and buildings		
Borrowing	\$1 million	
- Borrow \$36.5 million for infrastructure backlog rather than spend \$3.65		
million per year for 10 years, and repay over 30 years. This spreads the		
burden of backlog over a longer period, and reduces the impact on current		
ratepayers.		
- Repayments are \$2.65 million per year, so the net benefit per year in the		
first ten years is \$1 million.		
- Over the thirty year period total interest paid is \$43 million, an extra		
\$1.43 million per year, and the debt service ratio would increase from		
1.6% to 4.3%.		
Rate increase	\$8.3 million	
- 4.6% per year for 3 years above the rate cap		
- Average residential rate in 2016 \$1,297		
- Increase above the rate cap by 2016 \$157		
Total	\$12.5	
	million	

Alternative options

Whilst the group discussed many different options as they worked towards a preferred one, there are two that provide a useful comparison in understanding implications of the challenge facing council. These are no rate increase, or no service reductions, and are detailed below.

No Rate Increase		
Initiative	Benefit	
Increase income through commercial activities or user fees	\$0.5 million	
As for the preferred option plus:		
- Introduce pay for parking in car parks and town centres		
Service reductions	\$4 million	
- As for the preferred option plus:		
- Close branch libraries		
- Close one aquatic centre, either at Canterbury or Roselands		
Achieve further efficiencies in council operations	\$1 million	
As for the preferred option.		
Infrastructure deterioration (\$7 million)	\$7 million	
- Accept serious deterioration in roads, footpaths, parks and buildings,		
resulting in major failures and extreme repair costs at some future time.		
No borrowing	nil	
No rate increase	nil	
Total	\$12.5	
	million	

No Service Reductions	
Initiative	Benefit
No increases in user fees	nil
No service reductions	nil
Achieve further efficiencies in council operations	\$1 million
As for the preferred option.	
No infrastructure deterioration	nil
No borrowing	nil
Rate increase	\$11.5 million
- 6.3% per year for 3 years above the rate cap	
- Average residential rate in 2016 \$1,351	
- Increase above the rate cap by 2016 \$221	
Total	\$12.5
	million

It is important to note that the Community Working Group, representative of the Canterbury community, were not able to support the following aspects of these options:

- A rate increase of more than 4.6%.
- Pay for parking in either car parks or town centres.
- Serious deterioration in infrastructure.
- Closure of libraries or aquatic centres.

Next Steps

The date for submission of applications for Special Rate Variations has now been set by the Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal (IPART) as 24 February 2014. IPART requires the proposed rate increase be included in council's Integrated Planning and Reporting (IP&R) documents, in particular the Community Strategic Plan, Council Delivery Program, Strategic Asset Management Plan and Long Term Financial Plan. These documents must be adopted prior to the submission of the application to IPART. IPART also requires evidence that the wider community is aware of any proposed rate increase.

A position on the mix of rate increases and service reductions is to be included in these documents, for the purpose of further engagement with the wider Canterbury community, must now be determined in order to provide sufficient time to generate community awareness.

We are planning to continue the activities already outlined, as well as face to face engagement including visits and presentations to community groups eg. parents and friends, chambers of commerce, and others that are conducted in council community centres; and a series of community forums around Canterbury in December 2013 – January 2014.

As the due date for applications is before the first meeting of council in 2014 (likely to be 27 February 2014), it is proposed to convene an Extraordinary Meeting of Council in early February 2014. Further details of this meeting will be supplied later. At this meeting any feedback arising from this further engagement with the wider community will be reported, and Council will be asked to resolve that an application to IPART should be made, and confirm the nature of that application.

RECOMMENDATION:

THAT

- 1. A position on the mix of rate increases and service reductions be adopted for the purpose of further engagement with the wider Canterbury community, and for the purpose of providing advice to IPART on 13 December 2013 of the nature of the intended application for a Special Rate Variation;
- 2. The Community Strategic Plan, Council Delivery Plan, Strategic Asset Management Plan, and Long Term Financial Plan, all adopted on 27 June 2013, be amended to include this adopted position.

COUNCIL MEETING RESOLUTION - 28 NOVEMBER 2013

4 SPECIAL RATE VARIATION COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT PROGRAM UPDATE

FILE NO:

C-114-7

Min. No. 421 RESOLVED (Councillors Azzi/Hawatt)

THAT

1. For the purpose of submitting an application for a Special Rate Variation (SRV), to the Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal (IPART) in 2014, the Community Working Group's preferred option, set out below, be adopted:

Option preferred by Community Working Group		
Initiative	Benefit	
Increase income through commercial activities or user fees	\$0.2 million	
- Increase charges to users of sporting fields and community facilities.		
- Lease areas of aquatic centres and parks for income generating activities.		
- Undertake commercial operations eg. garage that earn income.		
Service reductions	\$0.5 million	
- Close outdoor pools alternately during winter, but leave indoor pools open.		
- Reduce the frequency of street cleaning and focus on most important areas.		
- Have just one large festival per year.		
- Reduce free nature strip mowing.		
Achieve further efficiencies in council operations	\$1 million	
- Review excess for public liability insurance.		
- Outsource management of the aquatic centres.		
- Discontinue more expensive payment channels, encourage more direct debit payments and charge for credit card payments, and issue rates notices by email to reduce postage costs.		
- Pay staff fortnightly, use electronic payslips.		
- In partnership with community groups provide more legal walls for graffiti to reduce cost of removal in other areas.		
- Achieve savings through implementing the dumped rubbish minimisation. strategy, changes to structure of regulatory services, and outsourcing Information Technology where appropriate.		

- Provide Women's Rest Centres services through partnership with	
community organisations.	
Infrastructure deterioration	\$1.5 million
- Accept some deterioration in roads, footpaths, parks and buildings	
Borrowing	\$1 million
- Borrow \$36.5 million for infrastructure backlog rather than spend \$3.65 million per year for 10 years, and repay over 30 years. This spreads the burden of backlog over a longer period, and reduces the impact on current ratepayers.	
- Repayments are \$2.65 million per year, so the net benefit per year in the first ten years is \$1 million.	
- Over the thirty year period total interest paid is \$43 million, an extra \$1.43 million per year, and the debt service ratio would increase from 1.6% to 4.3%.	
Rate increase	\$8.3 million
- 4.6% per year for 3 years above the rate cap	
- Average residential rate in 2016 \$1,297	
- Increase above the rate cap by 2016 \$157	
Total	\$12.5
	million

- 2. Should the application to IPART be successful, the following suggestions by the Community Working Group be the subject of further detailed examination and report to Council in early 2014.
 - Close outdoor pools at Canterbury and Roselands aquatic centres alternately during winter but leave indoor pools open.
 - Consider a variety of management options for the aquatic centres at Canterbury and Roselands.
 - Conduct one large street festival per year.
- 3. In relation to the Community Working Group's suggestions relating to the aquatic centres, the United Services Union (USU) be invited to participate in discussions around the issue of employment protection for permanent staff employed at the aquatic centres.
- 4. The Aquatic Centres Advisory Committee also participate in the decision making relating to the aquatic centres.
- 5. Subject to Clause 2 above Council's strategic planning documents be updated to reflect the Community Working Group's preferred option.
- 6. A formal vote of thanks be given to all the community representatives who took time out to deliberate on such an important issue for our City.