

## Coffs Harbour City Council

Your **Ref:** Our Ref: 2408 (322063)

17 May, 2001

Mr Thomas Party Chair Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal PO Box **Q290 QVB** Post **Office** SYDNEY NSW 1230

Dear Mr Parry

# Submission to the Independent Regulatory Tribunal on Proposed Department of Land and Water Conservation Bulk Water Prices.

The Department of Land and Water Conservation (DLWC) has a submission before the Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal (IPART) requesting significant increases in bulk water charges for the period 2001 to 2004. Council at its meeting of 10'' May 2001, determined: *"That Council advise the Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal that it does not support the application by the Department of Land and Water Conservation currently before them for significant price increases in bulk water charges for 2001/2002 to 2003/2004."* 

Council draws water for its main supply from the Orara River, under licence to the DLWC, which is then pumped into an offriver storage (Karangi Dam). DLWC's submission covers the entire state. They have established pricing regimes in regulated rivers, in unregulated rivers and for ground water, The Orara River is an unregulated river, which forms part of the Clarence River system, which in turn is considered part of the North Coast, "River Valley".

**DLWC's** submission argues that a portion of the following key costs should also be recovered in order to progress to recovering all categories of costs incurred in bulk water provision:-



- A return on new capital investment;
- (Incorporating into full cost recovery a positive real return on new investments is a National Competition third tranche requirement. In this submission, an industry average rats of return of seven per cent real is applied to the written down value of replacement and refurbishment capital expenditure to 2004).

Please address all communications to: The General Manager, Locked Bag 155/Coffs Harbour 2450 ABN 79 126 214 487 • Administration Building; 2 Castle Street, Coffs Harbour Tel: (02) 6645 4000 Fax: (02) 8645 4199 DX: 7559 • Website: www.chcc.nsw.gov.au • Email: coffs.council@chcc.nsw.gov.au

## -2-

## Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal

17 May, 2001

- An annuity for environmental and safety compliance costs:
- Water use compliance costs:
- A share of water management planning and annual implementation programs and reporting;
- Metering and monitoring costs for unregulated rivers; and
- Capital costs associated with unregulated and groundwater services. ٠

OLWC also argues in its submission that benefits accrue to both the general community and consumers and accordingly have proposed cost sharing ratios between government on behalf of the general community and consumers,

Cost sharing is proposed for the following costs:-

- Safety and environmental compliance cost. A 50% user cost share is proposed.
- Water management planning and implementation program costs. A 50 to 70% user share of these costs is proposed.
- Unregulated river metering and monitoring costs. A 90% user share is proposed.

The table below, which is abstracted from page 31 of DLWC's report, outlines the proposed charges in the unregulated river systems:-

Unregulated	River		Тwo		Part Tari						
Entitlement Price per Megalitre plus a Usage Price per Megalitre (a)											
River Valley	Entitlement Charge (\$/ML) 2001/02	Usage Charge (\$/ML) 2001/02	Entitlement Charge (\$/ML) 2002/03	Usage Charge (\$/ML) 2002/03_	Entitlement Charge (\$/ML) 2003/04	Usage Charge (\$/ML) 2003/04					
Border	1.23	1.00	1.47	1.20	1.77	1.43					
Gwydir	1.17	1.00	1.41	1.20	1.56	1.43					
Namoi/Peel	1.23	1.00	1.47	1.20	1.77	1.43					
Lachlan	0.70	1.09	.84	1.31	1.01	1.57					
Macquarie	1.51	1.09	1.82	1.31	2.18	1.57					
Far West	0.22	1.09	.27	1.31	.32	1.57					
Murray	1.23	.56	1.48	.68	1.78	.81					
Murrumbidgee	2.05	1.09	2.46	1.31	2.96	1.57					
North Coast	1.50	1.09	1.80	1.31	2.16	1.57					
Hunter	0.75	.95	.90	1.14	1.08	1.37					
South Coast	.87	1.09	1.04	1.31	1.25	1.57					

(a) Prices based on maintaining the current \$50 minimum bill.

#### - 3 --

#### Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal

17 May, 2001

The Coffs Harbour City Council Water Supply's annual extraction is currently around 5,200MLs. Current charges are **\$100** per licence and **91¢** per ML, having increased from 60¢ per ML in 1999/2000. For 2001/2002, DLWC have proposed a two part tariff consisting of an entitlement charge of \$1.50 per ML and a usage charge of \$1.09 per ML.

They have sought approval for subsequent increases of 20% per annum resulting in charges for the North Coast in 2003/2004, consisting of an entitlement charge of \$2.16 per ML and a usage charge of \$1.57 per ML.

Council's current annual bulk water charges for 2000/2001 will be approximately \$4,900. This will increase to \$13,440 for the year 2001/2002 and then to \$19,359 for 2003/2004, based on the current consumption, if the increases sought by DLWC are approved. This represents a 300% increase in four years.

While other valleys on the North Coast may well have substantial DLWC assets no such infrastructure exists in the Orara Valley. DLWC operate three gauging stations along the Orara, two of these are paid for by Coffs Harbour City Council. Council also funds substantial rehabilitation works to the Karangi gauging station to ensure that accurate measurements are maintained. The DLWC assets involved in the provision of bulk water supply to Coffs Harbour City Council are minimal and much less than may be attributable to other portions in the North Coast catchments but the proposed tariff charges include all infrastructure in the valley.

Similarly, Council undertakes all metering and monitoring associated with the operation of its water supply network. Bulk water meters at Cochranes Pool, Karangi Dam, Coramba and Nana Glen are owned, maintained and operated by Council. In addition to such metering Council has expended over the last two financial years approximately \$271,000 on river rehabilitation and riparian works. It is proposed that in the 2001/2002 budget a further \$152,500 be spent on bush regeneration along the Orara River.

A review of the costs provided by DLWC indicate a total expenditure on unregulated rivers of **\$3,285,965** (see attachment).

The River Quality/Flow Reforms (\$1,335,533), it is agreed, will benefit the broader community and not only the users of council's reticulated supply. Council has no knowledge of any surface water quality database, although Council has approached DLWC with a suggestion that one be initiated. Much of the *work* on River Health data collection has been undertaken by Council and therefore should potentially provide a credit to consumers rather than a cost.

River quality and flows (health) are a community benefit and not a particular customer benefit only. The other water users including the riparian users and recreational users also benefit.

- 4 --

Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal

17 May, 2001

Much of the work on river health data collection (\$647,024) has been (Karangi Dam Raising/Nana Glen Water Supply/Regional Water Supply) and is proposed to be undertaken (Regional Water Supply) by Council in the Orara Valley and therefore should potentially provide a credit to consumers rather than a cost.

Surface Water Allocation Strategies may not be of direct benefit to the CHCCWS consumers, but relate to the health of a waterway and again appear to be more of a community benefit.

Community benefits, it is felt, are appropriately funded from the broader tax base rather than the much smaller Council water supply consumer base.

If DLWC is serious in regard to the adoption of its pricing principles, then the community benefit provided by Councils' investment in monitoring and testing should be funded by DLWC, or at the least credited against Councils' bulk water account.

In making its decision IPART should recognise that;

- Local Government' and Local Government water supply authorities play a significant role in catchment and river resource management by providing resources to Water Management Committees (including development of water quality and flow objectives), Catchment Management Boards, Noxious Weed/Vegetation and Land Management Committees and undertake water, soil, and waste disposal testing and monitoring and regulation.
- A significant financial contribution is made by Local Government in providing resources to help manage the river catchments. These costs are borne by Local Government; and Local Government has not to date looked at retrieving these costs from State Government; and does not believe that the State Government should be allowed to recover its costs from Local Government.
- Water Catchment Boards will be &eking Local Government to take a lead role in providing resources to implement the outcomes of their investigations from within current Local Government resources,
- Local Government water authorities are NOT commercial undertakings and are already providing significant financial resources to river management as a community service obligation.
- Based on the substantial contributions being made by Local Government, DLWC should be incorporating these costs into their calculations or credited them to Council's bulk water account.
- The period celled for public submissions was not adequate enough to allow Councils and local communities to be consulted and should have been longer.
- The proposed increases are significantly above the Consumer Price Indices and this is inappropriate for Councils to be asked to sustain such increase in light of the current general rate capping policies that the State Government imposes on Local Government.'

- 5 -

## Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal

17 May, 2001

• No account is taken of the enormous value of the infrastructure in place and the relatively small population that these costs can be apportioned across, and the huge benefit others receive.

While Council supports the Water Reforms it feels that its contributions to the health of the river should be taken into account when the bulk water prices are set.

The Council appreciates this opportunity of making a submission to the Independent Pricing Tribunal on the proposed DLWAC bulk water prices.

For further information please contact Mr Simon Thorn on (02) 6648-4470.

Yours faithfully

Mark Ferguson General Manager

SAT:mah

.

.

•, •

- 6 -

## Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal

17 May, 2001

.

#### NORTH COAST BULK WATER SERVICES FINANCIAL REPORT For year ended 30 June 2000

OPERAL	ING	Regulated	Unregalated	Groundwater	Other Services	Total
OFERA	TING EXPENDITURE					
PAI	Surface Water Database	\$33,591	\$647,024	5-	\$12,928	\$693,543
PAZ	Groundwater Database		-	78,628	6,049	84,677
PA3	River Health Database & Water GIS system	27,280	418,238		• 6	445,512
PA4	Water Information Prochess	9,968	193,263	29,769	23.186	256,186
PBI	Surface Water Allocation Strategies	29,792	315,902		64,027	409,721
PB2	Surface Water Licences	•	171,552		772,562	944,114
PB3	Groundwater Allocation Strategics		•	3,340		3,340
PB4	Groundwater Licences	•	-	7,655	132192	139.847
PCI	Rural Water Supply Strategies	16,165	10,152			26,316
PC2	Rural Water Operations	28,044	\$9,735	18,516		106,295
PC3	Flood Operations	31	-			31
PC4	Rural Water Infrastructure	314,595	6,927	•	401,578	723,100
Pwl	River Quality Flow reforms	10,022	1,335,533		84,539	430,095
P m	Blue-Green Algae Sustegies	632	28,738		2,378	31,748
PO3	River Salinity Strategies		•			-
PD4	Bacterial, chemical & other strategies	16	99		1,275	1,389
PD5	Groundwater Management Strategies		-	339,025	2,213	341,237
PD6	Wetland Strategies	6.629	68,901		4,199	79,728
PD7	Water Industry Strategies	3,464	20,291	2,475	5	26,235
PEI	Provision for doubtful debts	1,635	9,602	1,168	402	12,807
Other	Asset levy repayments	•	-	·	•	
TOTAL		481,865	3,285,956	480,575	1,507,525	5,755,920
INCOM						
Business J		2,298	•	-	100,442	102,740
Cost Recoveries		5,000	15.712	-	(11,872)	8,840
	ver Income	6,240	•	-	-	6,240
Licensing		100			113.827	113,827
Other Inco		102	791	273	10,603	11,769
Water Ch	ar yes	29,084	230,977	78,075	-	338,136
TOTALI	INCOME	42,724	247,480	78,348	213,909	S81,552
NET CO	ST OF SERVICES	439,147	3,038,476	402,227	1,294,525	5,174,368
Governme	nt Operating Contribution	125,283	2,539,838	160,393	1,294,525	4,120,039
OPERAT	ING SURPLUS/DEPICIT)	(313,858)	( <b>498,</b> 638)	(241,834)	•	(1,054,130)
CAPITAI	L					
	- isi Byanditure	32,774			,	32,774
Governme	at Contribution	5,383		•	-	5,383
<b>*</b> 1.77******					•	
CAPITA	L SURPLUS / (DEFICIT)	(27391)			•	(27,391)
TOTAL						
	Sarphis / (Deficit)	(313,858)	(498.638)	(241,834)	-	(1,054,330)
Capital Su	plus / (Definit)	(27,391)	•	(2011(01)	_	(27,391)
-	/	~~~~			•	(=,,,,,,)
TOTAL S	URPLUS / (DEFICIT)	(341,248)	(498.638)	(241,834)	-	(1,081,720)