

IPART REVIEW – POINTS FOR OBJECTION

1. We have lived at this address for 26 years and are self funded retirees living on a fixed income and can not afford increases in costs or the uncertainty of this sort of review.
2. CALM conducted a review (1995) at which time we were persuaded to accept a major increase in rental plus CPI indexation and the change from a Permissive Occupancy to a License which suited the Department better in exchange for greater security of tenure and a realistic mechanism of rental rate increases.
3. I am horrified at suggestions that rentals be linked to some return on rental property as this land in question
4. A. Does not give me exclusive rights to occupy and I must (and do) allow anyone to enter and transit.
5. B. I am not able to sublet or gain commercial returns from this land.
6. C. When we bought our house 26 years ago the boat shed was part of the deal. No consideration was given to the government coming back 26 years later making unreasonable demands for rentals.
7. We already pay Council rates on this land that we have non exclusive rights tothis is ridiculous enough already!
8. This Licence does not have anything in common with the normal lease of residential land. It forces me to pay in advance, pay administration and establishment fees, have monies held indefinitely without any recompense and agree to pages of punitive restrictions and demands.

Please note that in the case of our Licence number the survey as shown on the Department of Lands N.S.W. Diagram shows mhw by D.P. 19402. This is demonstrably incorrect but to save further complications back in 1995 we agreed to accept the original survey (1964) even though it still was in the Departments favour. I have a recent survey that would be more accurate.

I have had very limited time to prepare this response and have extensive family related commitments until February. After this I would like to make a more detailed submission.

Yours Sincerely,

Roger H. Jones.