

Sirs,
1.

I wish to submit my response to the Issues Paper.
pertaining to the Review of Rental for Domestic
Waterfront Tenancies now.

The above property has been held by me,
freehold (2) for 15 years.

Now 123 My permissive occupancy tenancy is currently
\$440 pA. (PO 65/207)

I am a self funded retiree (Born 18.8.26)
with a taxable income of approx \$22,000 - 25,000 pA.
(- an increasingly short position).

My property is Water Access only (W.A.O.)

2. I am totally reliant upon my own
water transport (Aluminium Row about) to go about
my daily life. Pittwater Council's commuter Jetties
3. and Car Parking on the mainland are notoriously
inadequate. To get into and Park one's vehicle,
4. is a daily challenge. A situation in which
the Lands Dept. must carry some responsibility, with Council,
which is regularly placed in the too hard basket

6. Owners of property with Road access incur none of the costs associated with water only Access residents. They simply drive over the crown's Kerb and go to enter their homes.

I have to consider:

PO Licence Fees.

Car Parking Berthing Fees

Maintenance costs to jetty & Pontoons

Liability Insurance.

7. Notice of valuation on my property reveals an increase of 30% between valuations 01/02/2000 & 01/02/03. My PO 65,207 will have been inflated by this percentage and I face an increase in fees of \$130 PA.

Summary

1. I hold the view that the measures, whilst acknowledging the water Access only issue, proposed will prove discriminatory and unfair.

2. I commend the submissions forwarded to you of 17/11/03 and 3/12/03 by the Waterfront Environment Action Reform Group (WEAR Inc)

Yours respectfully

M. G. Moxey

Moxey