

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

IPART

Review of Central Coast Council Pricing

Answering lpart questions.

1. Is it reasonable? No, the proposed stormwater drainage charge to rural nonresidential property owners is not reasonable.
2. Will it have an undue impact? Yes of course these extra charges will have a negative impact. We run a small number of cattle rotated over three smaller acreages and each one is greater than 45,000 sq m which means triple the charges for us.
3. Should stormwater charges be aligned? Yes, between Gosford and Wyong the prices should be aligned. This is not the question. The council does not provide any stormwater management for our property so what expense is it that the council are seeking to recover from the imposition of this inconsistent charge. From zero with Wyong Council and \$124.68 with Gosford council to a proposed amalgamated council charge of \$5427.81!

We receive no water, sewerage, drainage or any sort of stormwater utilities, it is all self-managed, on farm.

4. Should the prices be based on area? No. Should there be a low impact category? No. Why should property owners have to prove their impact to enable them to get a reduced price on something they should not be paying for in the first place! Regardless of impact, there are no services or management provided. Who will set the "low impact" definition? Who will decide who is and who is not eligible? All farm land should be classified low impact. As previously stated we receive no Council provided facilities in relation to water or sewerage, therefore these new forecasted charges are not warranted.

To breakup farmland into nonresidential/residential and put a charge on one and not the other for stormwater drainage is unfair and inequitable.

We thank you for your extension of time which has allowed us to participate in this process and we look forward to a favorable response.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

IPART

Review of Central Coast Council Pricing

Answering Ipart questions.

1. Is it reasonable? No, the proposed stormwater drainage charge to rural nonresidential property owners is not reasonable.
2. Will it have an undue impact? Yes of course these extra charges will have a negative impact. We run a small number of cattle rotated over three smaller acreages and each one is greater than 45,000 sq m which means triple the charges for us.
3. Should stormwater charges be aligned? Yes, between Gosford and Wyong the prices should be aligned. This is not the question. The council does not provide any stormwater management for our property so what expense is it that the council are seeking to recover from the imposition of this inconsistent charge. From zero with Wyong Council and \$124.68 with Gosford council to a proposed amalgamated council charge of \$5427.81!

We receive no water, sewerage, drainage or any sort of stormwater utilities, it is all self-managed, on farm.

4. Should the prices be based on area? No. Should there be a low impact category? No. Why should property owners have to prove their impact to enable them to get a reduced price on something they should not be paying for in the first place! Regardless of impact, there are no services or management provided. Who will set the "low impact" definition? Who will decide who is and who is not eligible? All farm land should be classified low impact. As previously stated we receive no Council provided facilities in relation to water or sewerage, therefore these new forecasted charges are not warranted.

To breakup farmland into nonresidential/residential and put a charge on one and not the other for stormwater drainage is unfair and inequitable.

We thank you for your extension of time which has allowed us to participate in this process and we look forward to a favorable response.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

IPART

Review of Central Coast Council Pricing

Answering Ipact questions.

1. Is it reasonable? No, the proposed stormwater drainage charge to rural nonresidential property owners is not reasonable.
2. Will it have an undue impact? Yes of course these extra charges will have a negative impact. We run a small number of cattle rotated over three smaller acreages and each one is greater than 45,000 sq m which means triple the charges for us.
3. Should stormwater charges be aligned? Yes, between Gosford and Wyong the prices should be aligned. This is not the question. The council does not provide any stormwater management for our property so what expense is it that the council are seeking to recover from the imposition of this inconsistent charge. From zero with Wyong Council and \$124.68 with Gosford council to a proposed amalgamated council charge of \$5427.81!

We receive no water, sewerage, drainage or any sort of stormwater utilities, it is all self-managed, on farm.

4. Should the prices be based on area? No. Should there be a low impact category? No. Why should property owners have to prove their impact to enable them to get a reduced price on something they should not be paying for in the first place! Regardless of impact, there are no services or management provided. Who will set the "low impact" definition? Who will decide who is and who is not eligible? All farm land should be classified low impact. As previously stated we receive no Council provided facilities in relation to water or sewerage, therefore these new forecasted charges are not warranted.

To breakup farmland into nonresidential/residential and put a charge on one and not the other for stormwater drainage is unfair and inequitable.

We thank you for your extension of time which has allowed us to participate in this process and we look forward to a favorable response.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]