

Author name: Anonymous

Date of submission: Thursday, 26 April 2018

Submission: I strongly object to Solo Waters variation proposal. Because of the following: The variation fails the first IPART licensing principle "....protection of public health, environment, public safety" . The Rosecorp subdivision licence 16_035 was approved on the grounds that there would be no discharge of any liquid into the environment outside the residential development area. The variation means that effluent will be discharged into the natural waterway system and then travelling onto the beach at Catherine Hill Bay which is outside the development area. The developer is proposing that their sewerage problem is now the problem of the residents of Catherine Hill Bay. I believe the developer should adhere to their original plans or work to find an appropriate variation, as the current variation is unacceptable. The pristine beach and State listed heritage town of Catherine Hill Bay will be negatively affected by the discharge of effluent from the adjacent development. This would have long reaching negative economical, environmental and social impacts on the area and tourism of the region. Therefore, all works should be stopped immediately in areas 6&7 of the subdivision (and their subsequent sale) until an acceptable solution is reached. The variation is inadequate and should therefore not be granted.