

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal

Level 15,

2-24 Rawson Place

SYDNEY NSW 2000

RE: CATHERINE HILL BAY – NETWORK OPERATOR LICENCE VARIATION NUMBER 16_035

Dear Raju Mangalam and whom it might concern at IPART,

As a regular visitor to Catherine Hill Bay for some 15 years, I have reviewed Solo Water's (acting as Catherine Hill Bay Water Utility) proposed changes to the disposal of waste water from the Beaches development site. I wish to state my categorical objection on the following grounds:

- The proposal deviates from the original agreement – the Beaches development was only approved on the basis that wastewater would be not be disposed of outside the development. The proposed amendment is not in good faith and is not in the best interests of the local community
- Catherine Hill Bay is a place of rare, unspoilt natural beauty which needs to be preserved for the enjoyment of future generations NOT be put at risk by the dumping of treated effluent
- The health and survival of many marine animals & birds would be put at risk if the water quality at Catherine Hill Bay is allowed to be compromised by this proposal
- The health and safety of visitors to Catherine Hill Bay will be put at risk by this proposal
- The health and safety of consumers of seafood will be put at risk by this proposal (fish could be contaminated in the Catherine Hill Bay area, they could be consumed by other fish that later enter the food chain)

Thank you for taking the time to read this. I look forward to IPART's ruling on this potentially environmentally damaging application to dump waste water on pristine Catherine Hill Bay beach. I am confident the tribunal will uphold its commitment to protecting the interests of the consumers, taxpayers and citizens of NSW.

Please don't hesitate to get in touch should you have any questions that pertain to this submission

Yours Faithfully,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]