

Author name: Anonymous

Date of submission: Tuesday, 8 May 2018

Submission: My son and I are frequent surfers to Catherine Hill Bay and we very concerned with the proposal to have slightly treated effluent dumped into the lagoon. This area is only open to the ocean a few times a year, at best. Young children swim in the lagoon. It seems to me that the developer is having a lend of the system by applying for one thing with no intention of actually implementing it, then bucking the system and applying for an amendment. Tell me, if the amendment was actually proposed as the initial solution, would that be approved? I hope common sense prevails here and the amendment is rejected. The developer knew how many blocks were to made available and should have implemented a solution that would cater for the resultant waste, or ship the waste to an existing treatment plant.