

Author name: C. Hutchison

Date of submission: Saturday, 28 April 2018

Submission: I strongly oppose the application for the treated effluent to be discharged to the north of the Beaches estate where it would eventually run out onto the beach at Catherine Hill Bay. Since the lagoon where the water would pool after flowing down through the creek breaks through to the sea rarely, the lagoon would become septic with the treated effluent sitting there for long periods of time. The amendment to the licence is unacceptable given the potential to pollute the surrounding area that is of both cultural and environmental significance. Alternatives to this amendment are available that would involve Solo Water committing to a solution that would not impact upon public safety for the local community and the many visitors to Catherine Hill Bay. Excess treated effluence could be trucked away and discharged to deep sea outlets; or a pipeline could be built to transport the potential pollutants to an existing wastewater system. A company that has been engaged to build and organise the operation of the provision and removal of water to an estate needs to take responsibility for the safe removal of faecal matter and pharmaceutical and heavy metal contaminants that will significantly impact the area negatively. A safe alternative needs to be sought and Solo Water needs to invest in finding and funding a solution. An expectation should be placed upon Solo Water for the responsibility of removing their treated effluent. As a local resident- we pay a significant cost for the removal of our untreated effluent- as we should. We all must take responsibility for the safe and respectful removal of treated water in our community.