

**From:** John Travers [mailto: [REDACTED]]

**Sent:** Wednesday, 9 May 2018 5:02 PM

**To:** IPART Mailbox

**Subject:** Objection to Catherine Hill Bay Water utility Pty Ltd - Network Operator Variation

Good afternoon,

I have this afternoon been given some 90 minutes notice of the closing date for this proposed development. I am also in Ireland to make things a bit more difficult.

I am not a member of the Catherine Hill Bay Progress Association and I do not reside in the area. I reside at [REDACTED].

My submission here is to object to Catherine Hill Bay Water utility Network Operator Variation as proposed.

I have substantial interest, history and knowledge of the Catherine Bay area having been the NSW *National Parks and Wildlife Service* Senior Ranger for the area south from 1985-1992. I also provided professional and paid advice, at the time, to the Catherine Hill Bay Progress Association on the development of the residential development being undertaken by *Rosecorp* under my then firm *Conacher Travers Pty Ltd*. In what I recall was in the years between 2005-2010. I hold scientific qualifications and some 38 years of experience in the ecological and environmental world that enables me to make comment here today. I can furnish my curriculum vitae to you should you need.

I am therefore concerned to find that the effluent dispersal of some 162,000 litres could be relocated to an area that has never been contemplated by this development and or its various amendments. The affect would be to pollute the Catherin Hill Bay lagoon and its environs by either indirect and or direct impact from the actions of the proposal.

Therefore any possibility of harm to this environment must be undertaken in due accord with contemporary environmental legislation for very obvious reasons. The process of that is via an Environmental Impact Statement, Statement of Environmental Effects and or a Biodiversity Assessment under the Biodiversity Conservation Act or a Species Impact Statement to consider the possible and or likely impacts upon the sensitive environment that this area contains.

Without these assessments having been properly undertaken by skilled persons and submitted with appropriate data, then any valid assessment by Ipart and or environmental determination of impact by Ipart is just not possible. My understanding of the application is that this level of assessment has not been undertaken in the manner I have just explained.

Arising from a lack of environmental and or ecological detail then the application must be deemed deficient and if a decision must be made by Ipart then that decision must be to reject the application on the basis of insufficient information.

Signed

John Travers

*Ba Sc / Ass Dip / Grad Dip*