

To whom it may concern,  
Re Central Coast Council pricing review.

As a landholder and turf farmer west of the M1, I am in the main pleased with the Draft Report, in particular the proposed \$105 levy as opposed to the Council proposed \$4,000 levy.

I take issue with the proposition that Council provides storm water services in rural areas. I believe and observe that storm water is in the main dealt with as part of providing serviceable roads and therefore any storm water service is incidental to the provision of roads and any service otherwise provided is trivial.

Please also consider that if my turf farm business was in the Hunter or Sydney Water areas I would not be subject to any storm water levy as their drainage area designations are tightly controlled around areas served by proper storm water systems. Indeed as previously stated in my original submission, I checked out our namesake turf farm in Richmond Always Greener and our master Sir Walter provider Atlas Turf and neither of those farms are subject to any storm water levy, so why should our farm be subject to a levy of any sort?

The proposed levy of \$105 is inconsistent with the Hunter and Sydney Water precedents.

Yours sincerely  
Laurie Eyes