

Author name: P. Keig

Date of submission: Wednesday, 4 April 2018

Submission: My concern with the criteria for FITs is that it appears to take minimal account of the true opportunity cost of the cohort of home solar installed base, had it not been installed. No apparent account is taken of the medium/long term environmental costs/savings in having this increasing base available for grids to 'suck it up' for what is in effect a discounted price, to them.

Solar, (in this case the specific renewable source under discussion), should, in my opinion, be treated in a preferential way. By this I mean it should be, to a degree, cushioned against the falling wholesale price, whose fall it largely contributes towards. I.e., solar should not be placed in the situation where its own contribution is causing it to be less affordable attractive to those who have not yet taken it up. If this FIT reduction is pursued, then, effectively, non-renewable sources are being subsidised by default, and by dint of solar's inherent low cost, (once installation is recouped in savings), with no account of its relative environmental advantages as against non-renewables.