

Author name: J. Rasmussen

Date of submission: Monday, June 22, 2015

Submission: I have read the explanation for the low value of tariffs for solar energy fed back to the retailer and I am not convinced that it is reasonable.

I understand that there are costs and that no retailer should be asked to make a loss on solar power fed to the network by its customers, however, the amount paid is unreasonable and does not reflect the true costs to the retailer.

Taking each item in turn:

1. Costs for provision of networks to distribute electricity are charged separately on the bill and should not be considered in the mix.
2. There should be no inclusion for 'retailing' as most of the solar power fed into the network will be used by neighbours who are already buying power from the same retailer, the retailer has already done the marketing and the billing, there are NO extra costs.
3. Costs for green schemes is reasonable.

No retailer spends 25% of revenue on green schemes and anyway many are subsidised.

My estimation is that all retailers should pay 75% of their cost tariff. This still leaves them at least 5% profit on the sale of power that I have produced using the equipment and infrastructure that I have paid for to generate it.