

Author name: N. Santone

Date of submission: Monday, 31 August 2015

Submission: The draft findings are an absolute farce and totally ignore the bulk of the initial submissions provided to IPART earlier in the year. While understanding that a complex model is used to calculate the recommended feed-in tariff, the resulting answer defies the common sense test. How can any household be rewarded 4.9/kw only to be charged over 33/kw the minute their usage needs exceeds the capacity of their system. Ie. Households who contribute positively to the Federal Govt's carbon emissions target by installing solar have to pay 33/kw for their own power back.

IPART's findings are obviously politically motivated and will likely only change when the weight of the existing 60c/kw solar recipients will be added to the argument at the end of 2016.

IPART must explain why there is a huge gap between the feed-in price and the retail price in plain English and not hide behind it's complex calculation model who no one else understands.