

Author name: N. Santone

Date of submission: Sunday, 6 September 2015

Submission: The fact sheet explanation of why the feed-in tariff does not equal the cost of electricity makes sense; obviously there must be a cost to administer such a process.

However, what does not make sense is if the feed in tariff is being recommended at between 4.4 and 5.8c/kw, and the retail cost of electricity is circa 33c/kw one can only come to the conclusion that the process is either flawed or totally inefficient. Any business would love to be able to work with such margins.

To add to this inefficiency, consumers will have had to pay \$300 plus to have meters installed that actually calculate what the consumer uses and what they feed-in.

Perhaps it was easier to allow consumers to retain their older meters which simply rolled the meter back if a feed-in was being generated, allowing the consumer to benefit by a full offset for what they feed-in..

This would be a much simpler system, that wouldn't need a new digital meter or the obviously over engineered/inefficient method which results in the outrageous cost of administrating the feed-in system.

How can any government department support such an efficient process when all our leaders espouse productivity.