



30.11.03

I have lived at my waterfront access only home (above address) since 1976. From here I commuted to work and my sons to school. These movements were by using my boat moored on my pontoon. that provided safe access and not for leisure use.

The right to access my property across Crown Land (the river) was implicit in the original Crown Land subdivision of 1918. Such access is a right not a privilege which should incur no financial penalty.

The river is our road Are parking fees extracted for parking outside a public road by other non water access only home owners?. We contribute payments to our council and road authorities

Entitlement to safety access structure to be the same as offered to road access to home to bridge a deep gutter/gully from a roadway. Are these access constructions from a public road access costed by a council or road authorities?

As a matter of equity there should be no on going fees and charges for safety access to my home. A wet berthing fee would not provide equity as suburban homes do not pay to park streetside.

The consenting authorities CALM (or Waterways) should provide an information booklet as my only information dates from 1.2.78 when the P.O. fee was 22 dollars. I am now retired and endeavour to manage on Soc Security. The idea of market value charges would with each rise place me in jeopardy of financial disaster.

Please consider all above matters of " a fair go "

MARGARET A SMITH.