Appendix A

Central Coast Water Supply Headworks Development Servicing Plan 2019



Central Coast Council Development Servicing Plan -Water Headworks 2019

Version 1.0 Satpal Singh

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. | Introduction | . 3 |
|-----|---|-----|
| 2. | Area of the Plan | . 3 |
| 3. | Population and Equivalent Water Tenement Projection | . 3 |
| 4. | Reference to Other Development Servicing Plans | . 4 |
| 5. | Estimates of Capital and Operation Costs | . 4 |
| 6. | System Demand | . 4 |
| 7. | System Yield | . 5 |
| 8. | Method of Reviewing/Updating Contributions | . 7 |
| 9. | Calculation of Development Service Charges | . 7 |
| 10. | References | . 7 |

1. Introduction

The purpose of this Development Servicing Plan (DSP) is to determine the headworks component of development charges applicable to the proposed new developments within the North and South regions of the Central Coast Council.

This plan has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Water Management Act 2000, using the methodology and parameters determined by the Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal's Determination in October 2018 for Central Coast Council for levying maximum developer charges.

2. Area of the Plan

All lands contained within the Central Coast Council Local Government areas serviced by Water Supply headworks may be subject to this DSP. Local area DSPs where applicable will refer to this DSP for headworks component of developer charges.

3. Population and Equivalent Water Tenement Projection

Council has engaged *.id consulting* for its demographics analysis based on latest available Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) Census data. *.id* has provided population forecast figures for central coast council's North (former Wyong Shire Council LGA) and South (former Gosford City Council LGA) regions. *.id* has provided population projection up to 2036 only.

Further population projection from 2037 to 2050 is based on previous studies done for sewerage master plan of both North and South regions. The 2036 population has been linearly extrapolated at 1.39% and o.4% annual growth rates respectively for the Northern and Southern Regions. A small fraction of population is not connected to council's water services therefore both North and South population have been suitably modified to calculate serviced population.

Tenement projection has been done based on 150KL/tenement average annual water demand as per directions from IPART. The water demand patterns of both North and South regions are slightly different to each other which may further depart in future because of higher scope of growth of BASIX (more water efficient) housing in the northern region than the south.

Table 1 below summarises serviced population projection for the North and South regions. The individually climate corrected demand of both regions (239.5 l/c/d for North and 230 l/c/d for South) has been used to forecast water demand for both regions which is further used for calculating total equivalent water tenements.

| Year | North | South | North | South | North | South | Total |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| | Total | Total | Serviced | Serviced | Tenements | Tenements | Tenement |
| | Population | Population | Population | Population | | | |
| 30/6/2021 | 173,178 | 176,428 | 171,446 | 174,664 | 99,916 | 97,966 | 197,882 |
| 30/6/2026 | 187,806 | 180,345 | 185,928 | 178,542 | 108,356 | 100,141 | 208,497 |
| 30/6/2031 | 204,810 | 182,955 | 202,762 | 181,125 | 118,166 | 101,590 | 219,756 |
| 30/6/2036 | 221,707 | 186,176 | 219,490 | 184,314 | 127,915 | 103,379 | 231,294 |
| 30/6/2041 | 237,551 | 189,931 | 235,175 | 188,032 | 137,056 | 105,464 | 242,520 |
| 30/6/2046 | 254,526 | 193,761 | 251,981 | 191,823 | 146,850 | 107,590 | 254,440 |
| 30/6/2049 | 265,288 | 196,095 | 262,635 | 194,134 | 153,059 | 108,887 | 261,946 |
| 30/6/2050 | 268,976 | 196,879 | 266,286 | 194,910 | 155,187 | 109,322 | 264,509 |

Table 1 Population and tenement Projection

4. Reference to Other Development Servicing Plans

The development charge for the headworks component determined by this DSP will be included in all applicable North and South region DSP charges.

5. Estimates of Capital and Operation Costs

The capital costs are taken as Gross Replacement Costs of each of the Joint Headworks Assets are as per: 12099 - JWS W&S Final Report 29.09.16 and Gosford-Wyong JWS Fair Value Estimates - Dams & Weirs Final Report 07.06.2016. Assets Costs are determined by using Modern Engineering Equivalent Replacement Asset (MEERA) approach. These costs are further indexed as per June 2019 Update - NSW Water Supply and Sewerage Construction Cost Indices of NSW Reference Rates Manual.

The annual value charges are calculated using 0% discount rate for pre-1996 assets and 4.9% discount rate (real pre-tax WACC as in the prevailing IPART price determination) for post-1996 assets as per IPART's final report on "*Maximum prices to connect, extend or upgrade a service for metropolitan water agencies October 2018.*"

Operating costs are not relevant to this DSP and are detailed in each Local Area DSP.

6. System Demand

Council has used iSDP (Integrated Supply Demand Model) for demand forecast. The iSDP model was first developed by the Institute for Sustainable Futures (ISF), part of the University of Technology Sydney, for Sydney Water Corporation (SWC) in the late 1990s to enable SWC to conduct a detailed water planning exercise. This included both the development of a detailed demand forecast and development of a broad range of demand management and supply options. The model was subsequently modified by SWC and later released in 2003 as the Water Services Association of Australia (WSAA) end use model (EUM). The tool, now

known as the iSDP model, has been further developed by ISF and CSIRO, and applied to numerous cities across Australia. The model is currently used as a planning tool by various large water service providers. Hunter Water who is working closely with Central Coast Council for long term water resources planning is using iSDP model for water demand forecasting.

Council has used iSDP for water sales forecast for recent IPART Water Pricing submission/ determination. The model assumptions have been suitably updated to use it forecasting long term water demand forecasting. The forecast demand is provided in the table below.

| Year | Annual Average Demand ML/year | Average Day Demand ML/day | Peak Day Demand ML/day |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 30/6/2021 | 31,397 | 86 | 193 |
| 30/6/2026 | 32,829 | 90 | 202 |
| 30/6/2031 | 34,443 | 94 | 212 |
| 30/6/2036 | 36,194 | 99 | 223 |
| 30/6/2041 | 37,978 | 104 | 234 |
| 30/6/2046 | 39,900 | 109 | 246 |
| 30/6/2050 | 41,534 | 114 | 256 |

| | Table | 2 | Pro | ject | ed | Water | Dem | and | for | Central | Coast | Council |
|--|-------|---|-----|------|----|-------|-----|-----|-----|---------|-------|---------|
|--|-------|---|-----|------|----|-------|-----|-----|-----|---------|-------|---------|

7. System Yield

The System Yield of 46,000 ML/year was adopted for the DSP in 2014. Since then council has reworked its system yield with combined system modelling with Hunter Water Corporation which has drastically reduced to 35,400ML/year. Council has also updated its Rainfall Runoff Model for Central Coast water catchments with latest SILO (Scientific Information for Land Owners, owned by Queensland Government) climate data using eSource platform. The rainfall runoff modelling has resulted in lower steam flows than predicted by the previous studies.

Council is currently in the process of building a joint WATHNET model with Hunter Water for system yield analysis but in the meantime the most relevant estimate of system yield (including Hunter Water connection contribution) is 35,400ML/year. While the current agreement with Hunter Water for inter-regional water sharing expires in 2026, it assumed for the purpose of this DSP that the provision for inter-regional water transfers will continue beyond 2026.

The predicted demand exceeds the above described system yield in 2034. A provision of Nominal Yield increase of 7,000 ML/year is proposed in future infrastructure works, enhancing the System Yield to 42,400ML/year

Total existing water treatment and distribution capacity provided for in the DSP is 300 ML/day which is sufficient to meet the peak day demand up to 2050.

The following graphs provide details of annual demand versus yield over time and peak day demand versus treatment capacity over time.



Figure 1 Forecast Demand versus System Yield



Figure 2 Theoretical Peak Day Demand versus Central Coast Water Treatment Capacity

8. Method of Reviewing/Updating Developer Charges

The Developer Charges determined in this DSP are incorporated in North and South Water DSPs developed by Central Coast Council. The value of charges payable under the Development Servicing Plan will be held constant in real terms for the life of the Plan by the adjustments specified within Local Area DSPs.

9. Calculation of Development Service Charges

The 2018 Calculation Template provided by IPART has been used to calculate maximum charges that can be levied for the headworks component of developer charges on new developments.

Headworks development service charges assessed on the basis of one equivalent tenement (ET) are determined as \$3,933/ET.

10. References

The following Reports provide the basis upon which the need and capacity of capital works have been assessed:

- i. PWD Report on Investigations for Water Supply to the Gosford Wyong Region, January 1975.
- ii. PWD Report on Investigations for Water Supply to the Gosford Wyong Region, July 1985.
- iii. WaterPlan 2050 with supporting documents
- iv. DPWS Report on Mardi Dam Condition Assessment of Intake Tower and Outlet Pipe August 2000.
- v. Gosford Wyong Water Supply Desalination Project Concept Design Report July 2005
- vi. Mangrove-Enlarge-Options-Report-Draft-V2-130802-PlusAppendix July 2013
- vii. Forecast.id Report on Central Coast Council Population and Household Forecasts December 2017
- viii. Maximum prices for connecting, or upgrading a connection, to a water supply, sewerage, or drainage system- Sydney Water, Hunter Water and Central Coast Council October 2018

CALCULATION OF MAXIMUM PRICE

| Index | |
|--|-----------------------|
| Table 1: Calculation of maximum price (\$, \$2019-20) Table 2: Key variables used in maximum price calculation (\$, \$2019-20) Table 3: Annual calculation over analysis horizon (\$, \$2019-20) | Row 16 25 34 |

Note: an input is required in \$F\$21 to incorporate the Headwork costs per ET into the maximum price.

Table 1: Calculation of maximum price (\$, \$2019-20)

| | | Headworks costs | | Post-1996 commissioned | Post-1996 uncommissioned | Reduction for expected revenue and |
|---------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Maximum price | | per ET | Pre-1996 assets | assets | assets | operation costs |
| | Costs to be recovered via DSP | | 257,145,045 | 125,963,168 | 25,234,269 | 0 |
| | ETs | | 102,076 | 106,944 | 106,944 | 106,944 |
| 3,933 | Value per ET | | 2,519 | 1,178 | 236 | 0 |

Table 2: Key variables used in maximum price calculation (\$, \$2019-20)

| commissioned uncommissioned (discounted a assets assets expected futur (discounted at (discounted at revenue and post-1996 asset costs discounted at | discounted at commissioned uncommissioned (discounted at expected expected assets assets expected future revenue and (discounted at (discounted at (discounted at osts discount pre-1996 asset post-1996 asset post-1996 asset costs discount rate) costs discount rate) rate) |
|--|---|
| assets expected futu (discounted at revenue and post-1996 asset post-1996 asset costs discour | assets assets assets assets expected fruit (discounted at (discounted at (discounted at revenue and pre-1996 asset post-1996 asset costs discour discount rate) discount rate) discount rate) rate |
| 'discounted at (discounted at revenue ost-1996 asset post-1996 asset costs dis | (discounted at (discounted at revenu- ost-1996 asset costs dis discount rate) discount rate) rate |
| 6 asset post-1996 asset costs disco | 66 asset post-1996 asset costs disco nt rate) discount rate) rate) |
| | discount rate) discount rate) rate) |

PRE-1996 ASSETS WITH A NEXUS TO THE SERVICE FOR WHICH THE MAXIMUM PRICE IS BEING CALCULATED

Consideration must be given to the principles regarding asset exclusions presented on the 'Asset exclusions' worksheet before they are entered into the register Hyperlink to the 'Asset exclusions' worksheet: Asset exclusions' lA1

| Date range for assets | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Start date | |
| End date | |

01 Jan 1970 31 Dec 1995

Register of pre-1996 assets

| General inputs | | | | Service potential | inputs | | Asset value inpu | ts | | | |
|-----------------|--|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| | | | | | Expected system- | Proportion of | | | MEERA value per | | |
| | | | | | wide ETs to be | asset cost to be | | | unit/measure of | Total MEERA | MEERA value to |
| | | Date | | DSP areas | serviced by this | recovered via this | Number of units o | r Unit of measure in | length (B) | value (A x B) | be recovered via |
| Identifier | Description | commissioned | Date check | serviced by asset | asset | DSP | length of asset (A |) (A) | (\$ as at 1 July 2019) | (\$, \$2019-20) | DSP (\$, \$2019-20) |
| Raw Water Yield | | | - | | | - | | | | - | - |
| | Mangrove Dam | 1 January 1982 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 156,157,726 | 156,157,726 | 60,852,158 |
| | Mardi Dam | 1 January 1970 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 23,714,516 | 23,714,516 | 9,241,166 |
| | Mangrove Creek Weir | 1 January 1975 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 4,038,323 | 4,038,323 | 1,573,670 |
| | Lower Wyong River Weir -Structure Upgrade | 1 January 1990 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 83,383 | 83,383 | . 32,493 |
| | Ourimbah Creek Upper Weir | 1 January 1979 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 1,359,603 | 1,359,603 | 529,816 |
| | Ourimbah Creek to Mardi Dam WMR | 1 January 1979 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 10,060,172 | 10,060,172 | 3,920,287 |
| | Boomerang Creek Tunnel | 1 January 1989 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 140,872,727 | 140,872,727 | 54,895,839 |
| | Ourimban Ck Tunnel | 1 January 1979 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 5,255,000 | 5,255,000 | 2,047,789 |
| | Mangrove Creek Pumping Station | 1 January 1975 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 19,612,139 | 19,612,139 | 7,642,536 |
| | Mangrove Creek PS to Somersby BalanceTanks WMR | 1 January 1975 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 45,941,312 | 45,941,312 | . 17,902,591 |
| | Ourimbah Creek Pumping Station (WPS11) | 1 January 1979 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 3,466,198 | 3,466,198 | 1,350,722 |
| | | | - | | | - | | | | - | - |
| Treatment and | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transfer | | | - | | | - | | | | - | - |
| | Somersby WTP Stage 1 | 1 January 1971 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 32,561,814 | 32,561,814 | 12,688,816 |
| | Somersby Balance Tank 2 | 1 January 1971 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 3,947,031 | 3,947,031 | 1,538,094 |
| | Kariong Reservoir No 1(K1) | 1 January 1973 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 5,014,111 | 5,014,111 | 1,953,918 |
| | Balance Tanks to Somersby WTP WM Treated Upgrade | 1 January 1975 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 10,797,449 | 10,797,449 | 4,207,592 |
| | Coastal Connection | 1 January 1977 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 6,938,702 | 6,938,702 | 2,703,901 |
| | Somersby WTP to K2 TM- Upgrade | 1 January 1978 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 10,040,729 | 10,040,729 | 3,912,711 |
| | K2 to North Gosford TM- Upgrade | 1 January 1979 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 8,854,675 | 8,854,675 | 3,450,525 |
| | Mardi Dam to Mardi WTP WM Treated | 1 January 1982 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 1,965,896 | 1,965,896 | 766,078 |
| | Mardi WTP Stage I: 80 ML/d | 1 January 1982 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 42,950,227 | 42,950,227 | 16,737,013 |
| | Somersby WTP Stage 2 | 1 January 1986 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 29,997,508 | 29,997,508 | 11,689,547 |
| | Gosford -Wyong (Tuggerah 2) TM | 1 January 1986 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 45,167,566 | 45,167,566 | 17,601,075 |
| | Mardi WTP to Tuggerah 2 TM | 1 January 1986 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 5,877,192 | 5,877,192 | . 2,290,247 |
| | Kariong Reservoir No 2 (K2) | 1 January 1986 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 19,910,144 | 19,910,144 | 7,758,663 |
| | Tuggerah 2 Reservoir | 1 January 1986 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 15,114,431 | 15,114,431 | 5,889,851 |
| | Forresters Beach Pumping Station | 1 January 1987 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 1,375,162 | 1,375,162 | 535,879 |
| | Ourimbah Pumping Station (WPS17) | 1 January 1987 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 217,347 | 217,347 | 84,697 |
| | Mardi WTP Stage II: 80 ML/d | 1 January 1994 | Date check - OK | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 8,589,967 | 8,589,967 | 3,347,372 |
| | | | - | | | - | | | | - | - |
| | | | - | | | - | | | | | |

POST-1996 COMMISSIONED ASSETS WITH A NEXUS TO THE SERVICE FOR WHICH THE MAXIMUM PRICE IS BEING CALCULATED

Consideration must be given to the principles regarding asset exclusions presented on the 'Asset exclusions' worksheet before they are entered into the register. Hyperlink to the 'Asset exclusions' worksheet: Asset exclusions!!A1

| Date range | for | assets |
|------------|-----|--------|
| Start date | | |

End date

01 Jan 1996 30 Jun 2019

Register of post-1996 commissioned assets

| General | inputs |
|---------|--------|
| | |

| General inputs | | | | Service potential | inputs | | Asset value input | s | | | |
|-----------------|---|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| | | | | | Expected system- | Proportion of | | | MEERA value per | | |
| | | | | | wide ETs to be | asset cost to be | | | unit/measure of | Total MEERA | MEERA value to |
| | | Date | Financial year of | | serviced by this | recovered via this | Number of units or | Unit of measure in | length (B) | value (A x B) | be recovered via |
| Identifier | Description | commissioned | commissioning | | asset | DSP | length of asset (A) | (A) | (\$ as at 1 July 2019) | (\$, \$2019-20) | DSP (\$, \$2019-20) |
| Raw Water Yield | | | - | | | - | | | | - | - |
| | Mangrove Dam - Communications Upgrade | 01 Jan 2010 | 2009-10 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 395,416 | 395,416 | 154,087 |
| | Mardi Dam Upgrades | 01 Jan 2012 | 2011-12 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 18,185,994 | 18,185,994 | 7,086,790 |
| | Mooney Dam Upgrades-Instrumentation, Destratification | | | | | | | | | | |
| | and other minor works | 01 Jan 2004 | 2003-04 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 270,269 | 270,269 | 105,319 |
| | Mangrove Creek Electrical Upgrades Works | 01 Jan 2004 | 2003-04 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 37,692 | 37,692 | 14,688 |
| | Lower Wyong River Weir -Fishwayand other Upgrade | 01 Jan 2010 | 2009-10 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 1,429,042 | 1,429,042 | 556,875 |
| | Ourimbah Creek Upper Weir- Fishway Upgrade | 01 Jan 2007 | 2006-07 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 637,781 | 637,781 | 248,533 |
| | Lower Wyong PS to Mardi Dam WMR -Upgrade pipeline | | | | | | | | | | |
| | DN1000 | 01 Jan 2006 | 2005-06 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 8,102,685 | 8,102,685 | 3,157,486 |
| | Mardi Dam to Mangrove Dam WMR | 01 Jan 2011 | 2010-11 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 91,713,571 | 91,713,571 | 35,739,305 |
| | Boomerang Creek Tunnel Upgrade | 01 Jan 2004 | 2003-04 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 234,533 | 234,533 | 91,394 |
| | Mangrove Creek Pumping Station -Electrical Control | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Upgrade | 01 Jan 2004 | 2003-04 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 164,002 | 164,002 | 63,909 |
| | Mooney Mooney Pumping Station- Electrical Control | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Upgrade | 01 Jan 2004 | 2003-04 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 158,701 | 158,701 | 61,843 |
| | Mooney Pumpstation and Power upgrade | 01 Jan 2016 | 2015-16 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 3,397,358 | 3,397,358 | 1,323,896 |
| | Mangrove Creek PS to Somersby BalanceTanks WMR- | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Upgrade | 01 Jan 2007 | 2006-07 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 426,706 | 426,706 | 166,280 |
| | Wyong River WPS 1A | 01 Jan 2012 | 2011-12 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 11,311,913 | 11,311,913 | 4,408,071 |
| | Ourimbah Creek Pumping Station (WPS11) Electrical | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Control Upgrade | 01 Jan 2004 | 2003-04 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 169,842 | 169,842 | 66,185 |
| | Mardi Dam to Mangrove Creek Dam Pumping Station | | | | | | | | | | |
| | WPS24 | 01 Jan 2012 | 2011-12 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 7,539,559 | 7,539,559 | 2,938,045 |
| | | | - | | | - | | | | - | - |
| Treatment and | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transfer | | | - | | | - | | | | - | - |
| | Somersby WTP Electrical Control Upgrade 1 | 01 Jan 2004 | 2003-04 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 904,888 | 904,888 | 352,620 |
| | Somersby WTP Electrical Control Upgrade 2 | 01 Jan 2004 | 2003-04 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 2,020,896 | 2,020,896 | 787,511 |
| | Mardi WTP-Elecrtical Control Upgrade 1 | 01 Jan 2004 | 2003-04 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 1,920,427 | 1,920,427 | 748,360 |
| | Mardi WTP-Elecrtical Control Upgrade 2 | 01 Jan 2004 | 2003-04 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 486,884 | 486,884 | 189,731 |
| | Somersby Balance Tank 1 Electrical Control Upgrade | 01 Jan 2004 | 2003-04 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 83,029 | 83,029 | 32,355 |
| | Somersby Balance Tank 2 Electrical Control Upgrade | 01 Jan 2004 | 2003-04 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 142,936 | 142,936 | 55,700 |
| | Kariong Reservoir No 1(K1) Electrical Power Upgrade | 01 Jan 2004 | 2003-04 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 156,599 | 156,599 | 61,024 |
| | Kariong Reservoir No 2 (K2 -Electrical Power Upgrade | 01 Jan 2004 | 2003-04 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 192,333 | 192,333 | 74,949 |
| | Tuggerah 2 Reservoir Electrical Power Upgrade | 01 Jan 2004 | 2003-04 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 126,120 | 126,120 | 49,147 |
| | Forresters Beach Pumping Station Electrical Power | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Upgrade | 01 Jan 2004 | 2003-04 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 68,807 | 68,807 | 26,813 |
| | Woy Woy WTP for Groundwater Bores | 01 Jan 2007 | 2006-07 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 9,735,059 | 9,735,059 | 3,793,596 |
| | Hunter Connection | 01 Jan 2007 | 2006-07 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 21,297,039 | 21,297,039 | 8,299,114 |
| | Somersby WTP Civil and Metal Upgrade | 01 Jan 2008 | 2007-08 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 1,099,601 | 1,099,601 | 428,497 |
| | Mardi WTP- Civl/ Mech/Elec Upgrade | 01 Jan 2008 | 2007-08 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 1,592,590 | 1,592,590 | 620,607 |
| | Mardi Dam to Mardi WTP Pumping Station WPS23 | 01 Jan 2010 | 2009-10 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 5,037,338 | 5,037,338 | 1,962,970 |
| | High Lift Pump Station WPS25 | 01 Jan 2011 | 2010-11 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 9,936,469 | 9,936,469 | 3,872,082 |
| | Ourimbah Pumping Station (WPS17) Electrical Power | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| | Upgrade | 01 Jan 2013 | 2012-13 | | 261,946 | 39.0% | 1 | | 1,197,930 | 1,197,930 | 466,814 |
| | | | - | | | - | | | | | - ' |

POST-1996 UNCOMMISSIONED ASSETS WITH A NEXUS TO THE SERVICE FOR WHICH THE MAXIMUM PRICE IS BEING CALCULATED

Consideration must be given to the principles regarding asset exclusions presented on the 'Asset exclusions' worksheet before they are entered into the register. Hyperlink to the 'Asset exclusions' worksheet: Asset exclusions'!A1

Date range for assets Start date

01 Jul 2019

Register of uncommissioned assets

| Gener | al | in | рι | uts |
|-------|----|----|----|-----|
| | | | | |

| General inputs | | | | Service potential i | nputs | | Asset value input | 5 | | | |
|----------------|---|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | | Expected system- | Proportion of asset | | | MEERA value per | | |
| | | | | | wide ETs to be | cost to be | | | unit/measure of | Total MEERA | MEERA value to |
| | | Date | Financial year of | DSP areas | serviced by this | recovered via this | Number of units or | Unit of measure in | length (B) | value (A x B) | be recovered via |
| Identifier | Description | commissioned | commissioning | serviced by asset | asset | DSP | length of asset (A) | (A) | (\$ as at 1 July 2019) | (\$, \$2019-20) | DSP (\$, \$2019-20) |
| Future Yield | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Augmentation | | | - | | | - | | | | - | - |
| | Mardi to Warnervale Pipeline (M2WPL) Future Yield Augmentation (DESAL) | 30 Jun 2021 30 Jun 2034 | 2020-21 2033-34 - - | | 261,946 261,946 | 39.0% 39.0% - - | 1 1 | | 13,714,819 100,970,000 | 13,714,819 100,970,000 - - | 5,344,445 39,346,387 - - |

Appendix B

Water Supply Capital Works Summary

| Components | Diameter (mm) | Length (m) | Unit Cost (\$/m) | Cost (\$2019/20) | Forecast Commissioning Year (iD 2017 Data) |
|---------------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|
| Water main - Railway | | | | | |
| Crescent-Niagara Park (1) | 250 | 615 | 393.67 | \$242,107.05 | 2026 |
| Water main - Tuggerah | | | | | |
| St-Lisarow (2) | 150 | 623 | 288.93 | \$180,003.39 | 2026 |
| Water main - Narara | | | | | |
| Valley Drive -Narara (3) | 300 | 187 | 460.44 | \$86,102.28 | 2036 |
| Water main - Hanlan St / | | | | | |
| Narara (4) | 200 | 381 | 328.94 | \$125,326.14 | 2022 |
| Water main - Kalawarra | | | | | |
| Rd-Wyoming (5) | 200 | 475 | 328.94 | \$156,246.50 | 2026 |
| Water main - Avoca Dr- | | | | | |
| Kincumber (6) | 375 | 1440 | 540.22 | \$777,916.80 | 2031 |
| Water main - Central | | | | | |
| Coast Hway, East Gosford | | | | | |
| (7) | 200 | 284 | 328.94 | \$93,418.96 | 2021 |
| Water main - Newling Rd | | | | | |
| to Bannerman Rd, | | | | | |
| Lisarow(8) | 300 | 270 | 460.44 | \$124,318.80 | 2020 |
| Water main - From | | | | | |
| Kathleen Morreau rd | | | | | |
| along Railway line | | | | | |
| Lisarow(9) | 150 | 100 | 288.93 | \$28,893.00 | 2020 |
| Water main-Linking mains | | | | | |
| of Sylvan Valley Close- | | | | | |
| Perratt Close(10) | 150 | 140 | 288.93 | \$40,450.20 | 2020 |
| Watermain-Deane St | | | | | |
| from Narara ValleyRd to | | | | | |
| existing 150(13) | 150 | 160 | 288.93 | \$46,228.80 | 2020 |
| Watermain- Research Rd | | | | | |
| up to Narara Eco Village | | | | | |
| (16) | 150 | 175 | 288.93 | \$50,562.75 | 2020 |
| Watermain-Hastings Rd | | | | | |
| up to Serpentine Rd(17) | 250 | 930 | 393.67 | \$366,113.10 | 2026 |

Appendix C

Methodology for Water Supply Capital Works

Water Supply augmentations were decided based on three studies carried out as following;

- Master Plan 2012
- System assessments carried out for Local Environmental planning (2018)
- DSP 2014

Master Plan 2012;

The strategy was developed with the consideration of current capacity, performance and future growth. The level of service was agreed by a technical memorandum which was based on WSAA (Sydney Water Edition).

Master Plan consisted of identification of infrastructure to serve the 2051 projected populations that consisted of Major developments, Centres and the infill within the suburbs as forecasted by Forecast.id. The analysis consisted of following two areas;

• Existing Service Area

The existing serviced area for master planning purposes generally comprised all properties, including vacant properties, within the general boundaries of the year 2010 serviced area. A major component of the development consisted of Gosford CBD area, Somersby Industrial Park and Mount Penang developments. The infrastructure identified for these areas have not been included in 2019 DSP.

• Potential Service Area

The system performance analysis has shown that new growth occurring as a result of the Wyoming, Narara, Kariong and Erina do not need major upgrades. Some local service extensions and link mains have been identified for these in 2014 DSP that have been included in 2019 DSP too. Details of the water mains identified under this category are given in Summary of Developer Strategies South 2019 (Appendix G).

Under Future Development areas identified in Master Plan2012, water main amplification to Erina Heights along Hastings Rd has been identified. Servicing Narara Research Centre was identified initially under Master Plan and subsequently analysed under Narara Eco Village development and a new main along Research Rd has been proposed.

System assessments carried out for Local Environmental planning (2018);

A system performance assessment was carried out for the new proposed Local Environment Plan (LEP) to assess how the system performance would change with the introduction of the proposed LEP (new lot size 450m² instead of 550m²). The CCC south water network was assessed to identify the assets that need amplification for the current demand with the potential additional lots.

Max day demand analysis carried out for current scenario identified some mains that experience high head loss>10m/km and velocity around 2m/s. Some trunk mains and reticulation mains were identified for capacity restriction as per above criteria. These assets were included in 2019 DSP. More details of the mains identified under this system performance assessment are given in Summary of Developer Strategies South 2019 in Appendix G.

2014 DSP;

2014 DSP has identified some link water mains that were needed to enhance supply to some areas. These were mostly located in Narara and Lisarow DSP areas. These mains were included in the 2019 DSP. Summary of Developer Strategies South 2019 in Appendix G.

Appendix D Sewerage Capital Works Summary

| Proposed S | ewer Grav | ity Mains A | Amplificatio | ns | | | |
|------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|------|----------------|---------------------|
| SPS Catchment | Line Number | Dia(mm) | Length(m) | Precinct/Suburb | Year | Rate (\$/m) | Cost (\$2019/20) |
| C05 | 1 | 300 | 305.2 | Terrigal | 2026 | 644.9 | \$196,816 |
| S06 | 2 | 450 | 350.18 | East Gosford | 2022 | 1018.3 | \$356,601 |
| S03 | 3 | 525 | 455.4 | East Gosford | 2022 | 1090.9 | \$496,807 |
| ER11 | 4 | 225 | 364.8 | Green Point | 2021 | 511.3 | \$186,512 |
| ER10 | 5 | 300 | 213.79 | Green Point | 2021 | 644.9 | \$137,868 |
| ER10 | 6 | 450 | 106.4 | Green Point | 2021 | 1171.9 | \$124,693 |
| N02 | 7 | 225 | 106.3 | Narara | 2031 | 511.3 | \$54,348 |
| WG06 | 8 | 225 | 245.1 | Tascott | 2020 | 647.0 | \$158,575 |
| WG03B | 9 | 300 | 286 | Point Clare | 2020 | 644.9 | \$184,435 |
| KA03 | 10 | 225 | 478 | Kariong | 2021 | 511.3 | \$244,388 |
| C08 | 11 | 225 | 306.8 | Wamberal | 2026 | 511.3 | \$156,858 |
| NAM | 12 | 300 | 170.7 | North Avoca | 2020 | 644.9 | \$110,080 |
| KA01 | 13 | 225 | 247 | Kariong | 2020 | 511.3 | \$126,284 |
| N04 | 14 | 225 | 257.8 | Narara | 2020 | 511.3 | \$131,806 |
| N18 | 15 | 225 | 180.7 | Lisarow | 2020 | 511.3 | \$92,387 |
| S02 | 16 | 225 | 176.5 | East Gosford | 2021 | 511.3 | \$90,240 |
| N18 | 17 | 375 | 26.43 | Lisarow | 2026 | 838.4 | \$22,160 |
| TMJ | 18 | 600 | 125 | Terrigal | 2022 | 1372.9 | \$171,608 |
| TMJ | 19 | 525 | 400 | Terrigal | 2026 | 1363.4 | \$545,357 |
| ER1 | 20 | 300 | 215 | Erina | 2021 | 644.9 | \$138,648 |
| ER1 | 21 | 225 | 65 | Erina | 2021 | 511.3 | \$33,233 |
| N7 | 22 | 225 | 296 | Narara | 2020 | 511.3 | \$151,337 |

| Proposed Sewer Rising Mains Upgrades and New Rising Mains | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|-----------|------|----------|------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| SPS | Dia(mm) | Length(m) | Year | Rate | Cost | | | | | | |
| M3 | 200 | 240 | 2026 | \$ 459 | \$ | 110,081 | | | | | |
| S8 | 100 | 161 | 2021 | \$ 368 | \$ | 59,280 | | | | | |
| FB2 | 100 | 285 | 2026 | \$ 368 | \$ | 104,937 | | | | | |
| FB1B | 300 | 1290 | 2021 | \$ 586 | \$ | 755,894 | | | | | |
| C13 | 300 | 639 | 2020 | \$ 586 | \$ | 374,431 | | | | | |
| C1 | 225 | 847 | 2031 | \$ 479 | \$ | 405,713 | | | | | |
| TMJ(Proposed additional RN | 600 | 900 | 2021 | \$ 1,473 | \$ | 1,325,520 | | | | | |
| SI5(New SPs) | 100 | 206 | 2031 | \$ 368 | \$ | 75,849 | | | | | |
| SI6(New SPs) | 100 | 432 | 2031 | \$ 368 | \$ | 159,062 | | | | | |
| NAMJ Rising main(Upto | 600 | 1400 | 2031 | \$ 1,473 | \$ | 2,061,920 | | | | | |
| Kincumber tunnel) | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Proposed F | Pump Statio | n Capacity I | Jpgi | rades | | | |
|------------|-------------|--------------|------|-----------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| SPS | Year | Capacity | M8 | kE Cost | Civil Cost | Tot | al Cost |
| ER11 | 2031 | 25 | \$ | 216,405 | \$401,895 | \$ | 618,300 |
| ER9 | 2031 | 22 | \$ | 202,129 | \$375,383 | \$ | 577,512 |
| ER1 | 2031 | 103 | \$ | 481,264 | \$0 | \$ | 481,264 |
| KS2 | 2021 | 13 | \$ | 158,004 | \$0 | \$ | 158,004 |
| M1 | 2020 | 201 | \$ | 703,080 | \$0 | \$ | 703,080 |
| M2 | 2021 | 153 | \$ | 599,256 | \$1,112,904 | \$ | 1,712,160 |
| M3 | 2026 | 115 | \$ | 511,114 | \$949,211 | \$ | 1,460,325 |
| SD2 | 2021 | 110 | \$ | 498,677 | \$0 | \$ | 498,677 |
| SD5 | 2026 | 34 | \$ | 253,176 | \$0 | \$ | 253,176 |
| SD9 | 2026 | 44 | \$ | 295,138 | \$0 | \$ | 295,138 |
| M4 | 2026 | 34 | \$ | 253,176 | \$0 | \$ | 253,176 |
| S5 | 2026 | 103 | \$ | 481,264 | \$893,777 | \$ | 1,375,041 |
| S6 | 2026 | 40 | \$ | 283,458 | \$526,422 | \$ | 809,880 |
| S8 | 2021 | 31 | \$ | 240,198 | \$0 | \$ | 240,198 |
| S9 | 2026 | 27 | \$ | 225,922 | \$0 | \$ | 225,922 |
| NA2 | 2021 | 46 | \$ | 306,818 | \$0 | \$ | 306,818 |
| A4 | 2026 | 99 | \$ | 471,206 | \$0 | \$ | 471,206 |
| A6 | 2022 | 15 | \$ | 170,982 | \$0 | \$ | 170,982 |
| FB4 | 2020 | 33 | \$ | 253,176 | \$0 | \$ | 253,176 |
| FB2 | 2023 | 21 | \$ | 197,371 | \$0 | \$ | 197,371 |
| FB1B | 2021 | 182 | \$ | 664,146 | \$0 | \$ | 664,146 |
| C15 | 2026 | 38 | \$ | 270,480 | \$502,320 | \$ | 772,800 |
| C13 | 2020 | 241 | \$ | 789,600 | \$1,466,400 | \$ | 2,256,000 |
| C12 | 2026 | 26 | \$ | 216,405 | \$0 | \$ | 216,405 |
| C11 | 2031 | 19 | \$ | 183,960 | \$0 | \$ | 183,960 |
| C8 | 2031 | 39 | \$ | 279,132 | \$0 | \$ | 279,132 |
| C5A | 2031 | 39 | \$ | 279,132 | \$518,388 | \$ | 797,520 |
| C1 | 2031 | 114 | \$ | 508,626 | \$944,592 | \$ | 1,453,218 |
| KA2 | 2031 | 63 | \$ | 366,734 | \$681,077 | \$ | 1,047,810 |
| WG3A | 2021 | 201 | \$ | 703,080 | \$0 | \$ | 703,080 |
| WG4 | 2026 | 116 | \$ | 511,114 | \$949,211 | \$ | 1,460,325 |
| WG6 | 2026 | 72 | \$ | 392,690 | \$0 | \$ | 392,690 |
| N2 | 2026 | 26 | \$ | 221,164 | \$0 | \$ | 221,164 |
| OB2 | 2031 | 27 | \$ | 225,922 | \$0 | \$ | 225,922 |
| WYMJ | 2026 | 1150 | \$ | 1,916,799 | \$3,559,770 | \$ | 5,476,569 |
| NAMJ | 2031 | 590 | \$ | 1,333,564 | \$0 | \$ | 1,333,564 |
| A7 | 2022 | 34 | \$ | 257,502 | \$478,218 | \$ | 735,720 |

Appendix E Methodology for Sewerage Capital Works

Sewer network augmentations were decided based on three studies carried out as following;

- Master Plan 2012
- Terrigal Major Strategy and Coastal Carrier Strategy
- System assessments carried out for Local Environmental planning (2018)
- Pump Station system performance Analysis

Master Plan 2012;

The strategy was developed with the consideration of current capacity, performance and future growth.

- Development of level of service for Sewer services
- Analysis of Population Growth and identifying Future Service Areas
- Derive dry weather and wet weather flows for each horizon and assess system performance for each horizon
- Preparation of Sewer System Servicing Strategy

The storm flow analysis has been based on the rational method as described within the for infiltration and inflow analysis WSA 02 code. A course validation of the parameters of the applied rational method has been undertaken. As the system ages it is likely to allow increased IIF. A deterioration allowance of 0.5% per year has been applied to predicted storm and GWI flows. This equates to 20% increases of storm flows between 2011 and 2051.

Master Plan has analysed the requirements of the potential service areas that have Onsite Sewerage Management (OSSM) in operation that need to be connected to the system in future.

Also the new reticulation mains required for Future Development Areas have been identified the sewer gravity mains (mostly DN 150) as shown following;

| | Un- serviced Area (Ha) | ET | Timing | Sewer Gravity main | Sewer Rising main | SPS |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------|-----------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------|
| DSP Area | | | | (DN 150) | (DN100) | |
| Wyoming East | 88 | 199 | 2021-2031 | 1636 | | |
| Kariong | 16 | 66 | 2019-2031 | | 250 | 1(5l/s) |
| Erina (East of | 31 | 219 | 2021-2031 | 583 | | |
| James Sea Dr | | | | | | |
| Lisarow | 15 | 14 | 2015-2031 | 214 | | |
| Investigation and F | uture Develo | pment | | | | |
| Erina Heights | 167 | 2667 | 2031-2051 | 12100 | | |
| Killcare Heights | 9 | 33 | 2021-2031 | 1109 | | |
| Wamberal North | 121 | 139 | 2031-2051 | 11100 | | |
| Wamberal South | 214 | 205 | 2031-2041 | 16700 | | |
| Mac Masters Beach | 162 | 84 | 2015-2041 | 1480 | | |
| Karalta Rd | 12 | 44 | 2031-2036 | 1200 | | |
| SIP Eastern Extn | | | 2031-2036 | | 550 | 1(5 l/s) |
| Rezoning of Non-U | rban Lands | | | | | |
| Narara Eco Village | 12 | 150 | 2020-2031 | 296 (DN225) | | |

Master Plan study identified the SPSs that needed emergency storages by analysing the dry weather flow for current and future and upgrades of storages of three major pump stations (NAMJ, WYMJ and TMJ) were identified. Also PWWF for current and future (2051) scenarios were assessed for 1 in2 yr ARI, 1in 5yr ARI event and 1in 10yr ARI event. These wet weather assessment have identified a list of minor SPSs that need amplification but they have not been included in the future asset requirements.

Coastal Carrier Strategy and Terrigal Major Strategy;

The Coastal Carrier System (CCS) comprises all infrastructures in the Northern Beaches areas of Gosford City. The suburbs include, Forrester's Beach, Terrigal, Wamberal, North Avoca, Avoca, and Kincumber. In the recent past there had been rapid residential development in the northern most section of Terrigal catchment. These consist of Forrest Glen Retirement village and Bakali Rd subdivisions. Also there is considerable development proposed in Terrigal Haven area and Terrigal Centre (SPS C1 Catchment) in near future. Following table shows the anticipated development contributing to Coastal Carrier flow.

| Suburb | Forecast ID Dev | Contributing ET(2018-2036) |
|------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Forrester's Beach & | Forest Glen retirement Village (SPS FB4) | 232 |
| vvamberal | Bakali North (SPS FB4) | 100 |
| | Bakali South (SPS FB1) | 57 |
| | Forecast ID Infill | 157 |
| Terrigal & North Avoca | SPS C18 catchment(Kings Ave) | 127 |
| | SPS TMJ Catchment (Misc) | 84 |
| | SPS C1 Catchment(Misc) | 365 |
| | Forecast ID Infill | 403 |

With the above developments and the additional flow that is anticipated from the infill the flow that is anticipated at SPS Terrigal Major is expected to increase in the future. However, the assets downstream of TMJ have restricted capacity to carry this flow and the flow from the catchment of North Avoca Service Area. Costal Carrier Strategy has looked at the major infrastructure that is necessary to hold the above flow. Also, reassessment of the Coastal Carrier Strategy is planned for 2019/2020 that may result in a change in the currently identified infrastructure. Following major assets have been identified by the studies carried up to now.

- 1. DN 525 Gravity main duplication from SPPS CB5B and C10 ring main end to SPS C4
- 2. DN 600 TMJ Rising main duplication (Two sections of length)
- 3. Additional storages and ERS at TMJ pump station
- 4. NAMJ SPS capacity upgrade, storage upgrade and ERS
- 5. NAMJ rising main duplication up to Kincumber Tunnel
- 6. Relocation and upgrading of SPS A7 and re-directing of rising main

Further details are shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3 of Summary of Developer Servicing Strategies South 2019 (Appendix G).

Servicing and Infrastructure Capacity Analysis 2018;

Under the above study a system performance assessment was carried out for the new proposed Local Environment Plan (LEP) to assess how the system performance would change with the introduction of the proposed LEP (new lot size 450m² instead of 550m²). The CCC south sewer network was assessed to identify the assets that need amplification for the current demand with the potential additional lots.

Some sewer mains were identified as mains that needed amplifications as these mains experienced a peak wet weather flow for 1: 5 year ARI event exceeding the pipe full capacities. The locations are shown in Figure 7, Figure 8, Figure 9 & Figure 10 of Summary of Developer Servicing Strategies South 2019.

Appendix F

Sewer Pump Station Upgrade Assessment

For former Gosford LGA a sewer pump station assessment was carried out for all the pump stations in 2012 Mater Plan study.

The first step was to identify the SPSs that need amplifications for the 2012 scenario. This study identified the pump stations that need amplifications on emergency storage and pump capacity by assessing dry weather emergency storages and peak wet weather flow. Peak wet weather analysis was carried out for 1 in 2 yr ARI, 1 in 5 yr ARI and 1 in 10Yr ARI rainfall events. However, a specific containment standard was not recommended in Master Plan study. Hence, SPSs were not included in the recommended asset amplifications. Some of the pump stations identified as capacity restricted in 2012 Master Plan study have been amplified already (E.g. SPS SI2,KA1).

The assessment carried out for new Local Environment Plan (LEP) assessment also carried out an analysis on the pump capacity for each catchment. All sewer systems were assessed for 1in 5 yr ARI event and pump stations that had a capacity less than or close to the peak wet weather flow were for current scenario were selected for as SPSs that need amplification.

Two sewer models were used to assess future wet weather flow;

- Master Plan Model 2012; The average and peak dry weather in each catchment was assessed by running dry weather flow runs for model scenarios in Master Plan 2012 model for future. The growth up to 2031 horizon in each catchment was assessed by these results.
- Calibrated model 2015; The storm allowance for each catchment was calculated based on dry weather and wet weather flow in the calibrated model (2015). The future wet weather flow was calculated based on future dry weather flow and storm allowance in each catchment. Proposed pump capacity was decided on the peak wet weather predicted for future horizon.

The current emergency storage time for each pump station was assessed by using the current calibrated model. The future emergency storage time for each pump station was assessed by calculating the holding time proportionately to the growth within the catchment.

Rising main velocity for the current pump capacities were obtained from the current calibrated model. The rising mains that had velocities higher than 2.5 m/s were identified for amplifications. In addition the rising main velocities were assessed for future pump capacities and proposed for amplification if the velocities were higher than 2.5 m/s.

The following attached tables shows the analysis carried out for identification of SPS upgrade , rising main amplification and emergency storage upgrade.

| Service Area | Sewer SPS catchment | SPS Capacity | ADWF_Current (I/s) | 1: 5 YR PWWF- Current | ADWF_2031 (I/s) | 1: 5 YR PWWF- 2031 | Emergency Storage time- Current(hrs) | Emergency Storage time- 2031(hrs) | RM Velocity- Current(m/s) | RM Velocity_2031 (m/s) | 1:5 Yr ARI (Current > SPS capacity) | Year of Mech Elec Upgrade | Year of RM Upgrade | Civil Upgrade | Comments (Comparison to results in Master Plan 2012) |
|-----------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| кмј | ER11 | 18 | 0.9 | 22.8 | 1.7 | 25 | 7 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 0.80 | Yes | 2031 | | 2031 | Identified as capacity restrained in 2012 MP |
| | ER9 | 19 | 0.7 | 20 | 1.5 | 22 | 6 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 0.71 | Yes | 2031 | | 2031 | |
| | ER1 | 108 | 6.3 | 98 | 8.1 | 103 | 5 | 3.9 | 1 | 1.46 | No | 2031 | 2031 | | |
| | K1 | 20 | 2.0 | 23 | 2.0 | 23 | 4 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 1.30 | Yes | | | | Identified as capacity restrained in 2012 MP |
| | KS2 | 7 | 0.4 | 12.5 | 0.4 | 13 | 23 | 23.0 | 0.9 | 1.59 | Yes | 2021 | | | Identified as capacity restrained in 2012 MP |
| | M1 | 154 | 9.0 | 194.6 | 11.1 | 201 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 1.82 | Yes | 2020 | | | Due for Upgrade within 2020(Identified in IPART18-2020) |
| | M2 | 130 | 6.0 | 144.5 | 8.7 | 153 | 3 | 2.1 | 1.84 | 2.16 | Yes | 2021 | 2021 | 2021 | Additional storage is recommended |
| | M3 | 100 | 3.5 | 109.2 | 5.5 | 115 | 4 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 3.67 | Yes | 2026 | 2026 | 2031 | Some sewer mains exceed capacity. 1:5 Yr ARI flow exceeds the pump capacity. |
| | SD2 | 80 | 6.0 | 105.8 | 7.5 | 110 | 9 | 7.2 | 0.72 | 1.00 | Yes | 2021 | | | Identified as capacity restrained in 2012 MP |
| | SD5 | 25 | 1.6 | 33 | 1.9 | 34 | 23 | 19.4 | 0 | 1.08 | Yes | 2026 | | | |
| | SD9 | 35 | 1.6 | 42 | 2.2 | 44 | 12 | 8.6 | 1.1 | 1.40 | Yes | 2026 | | | |
| | M4 | 25 | 1.1 | 31.6 | 1.7 | 34 | 3 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.90 | Yes | 2026 | | | |
| ECS | S4 | 33 | 2.1 | . 36 | 2.1 | 36 | 4 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 2.04 | Yes | | | | Some reticulation mains upstream of SPS exceed capacity |
| | S5 | 80 | 10.0 | 91 | 14.1 | 103 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.46 | Yes | 2026 | | 2021 | Identified as capacity restrained in 2012 MP. Some trunk mains and reticulation mains upstream of SPS exceed capacity |
| | S6 | 32 | 1.7 | 38 | 2.5 | 40 | 3 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.28 | Yes | 2026 | 2026 | 2026 | Some reticulation mains upstream of SPS exceed capacity |
| | S8 | 15 | 1.2 | 29 | 1.8 | 31 | 7 | 4.7 | 1.93 | 3.92 | Yes | 2021 | 2026 | | Due for Upgrade within 2020(Identified in IPART18-2020) |
| | S9 | 15 | 3.5 | 21 | 5.5 | 27 | 4 | 2.5 | 0.22 | 0.38 | Yes | 2026 | | | |

Pump Station Analysis carried out based on future growth and current asset performance

| Service Area | Sewer SPS catchment | SPS Capacity | ADWF_Current (I/s) | 1: 5 YR PWWF- Current | ADWF_2031 (I/s) | 1: 5 YR PWWF- 2031 | Emergency Storage time- Current(hrs) | Emergency Storage time- 2031(hrs) | RM Velocity- Current(m/s) | RM Velocity_2031 (m/s) | 1:5 Yr ARI (Current > SPS capacity) | Year of Mech Elec Upgrade | Year of RM Upgrade | Civil Upgrade | Comments (Comparison to results in Master Plan 2012) |
|-----------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|---|
| NAMJ | NA2 | 37 | 2.8 | 45 | 3.2 | 46 | 3 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 1.16 | Yes | 2021 | | | Identified as capacity restrained in 2012 MP. Some mains exceeding capacity |
| | NA3 | 10 | 0.6 | 12 | 0.9 | 13 | 5 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 1.64 | Yes | | | | |
| | A4 | 82 | 5.8 | 92 | 8.1 | 99 | 7 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 1.40 | Yes | 2026 | | | Identified as capacity restrained in 2012 MP & Some mains exceeding capacity |
| | A7* | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | Identified in CC Strategy to be upgraded in 2021/22. A7 repositioning and rising main. |
| | A6 | 11 | 0.8 | 13.4 | 1.2 | 15 | 14 | 9.4 | 1.4 | 1.85 | Yes | 2031 | | | Some mains exceeding capacity |
| ТМЈ | FB4 | 25 | 1.3 | 24 | 2.8 | 33 | 4 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.87 | No | 2019 | 2019 | 2031 | SPS due for upgrade 19/20 due to rapid future development in the catchment. |
| | FB2 | 15 | 0.4 | 19 | 1.1 | 21 | 14 | 5.5 | 1.9 | 2.68 | Yes | 2026 | 2026 | | |
| | FB1B | 144 | 5.4 | 165 | 11.1 | 182 | | 0.0 | 2 | 2.58 | Yes | 2021 | 2021 | | Due for Upgrade within 2020(Identified in IPART18-2020) |
| | C15 | 32 | 1.2 | 34.6 | 2.2 | 38 | 6 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 2.13 | Yes | 2026 | 2026 | 2031 | |
| | C13 | 165 | 11.7 | 226 | 16.6 | 241 | 4 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 3.40 | Yes | 2020 | 2020 | 2031 | Due for Upgrade within 2020(Identified in IPART18-2020) |
| | C12 | 20 | 0.8 | 25 | 1.1 | 26 | 11 | 8.7 | 1.1 | 1.45 | Yes | 2026 | | | |
| | C11 | 15 | 0.9 | 17.7 | 1.2 | 19 | 9.5 | 7.1 | 1.9 | 2.37 | Yes | 2031 | 2031 | | |
| | C8 | 30 | 2.3 | 36 | 3.3 | 39 | 7 | 4.9 | 1.7 | 2.21 | Yes | 2031 | 2031 | | I/I reduction program proposed for 2019/20. Pump may be not necessary dpeending on this program. |
| | C5A | 34 | 2.7 | 35.6 | 4.0 | 39 | 2 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.22 | Yes | 2031 | 2031 | 2031 | I/I reduction program proposed for 2019/20. Pump may be not necessary dpeending on this program. |
| | C1 | 108 | 6.9 | 112 | 7.7 | 114 | 7.5 | 6.7 | 1.4 | 2.88 | Yes | 2031 | 2031 | 2031 | Sewer mains and SPS have reached the capacity |
| WGMJ | KA2 | 50 | 2.1 | 60.4 | 3.0 | 63 | 2 | 1.4 | 1 | 1.29 | Yes | 2031 | | 2031 | Flow exceeds capacity in some reticulation and emergency storage available is less than 4hrs |
| | WG3A | 160 | 14.3 | 187 | 18.9 | 201 | 12 | 9.1 | 1.4 | 1.26 | Yes | 2021 | | | Flow exceeds capacity in trunk mains and some reticulation |
| | WG4 | 100 | 6.4 | 109 | 8.7 | 116 | 3.25 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 1.64 | Yes | 2026 | | 2026 | Identified as capacity restrained in 2012 MP |
| | WG6 | 60 | 3.9 | 67.8 | 5.2 | 72 | 10 | 7.5 | 1.2 | 1.46 | Yes | 2026 | | | Identified as capacity restrained in 2012 MP |
| UNYWJ | N2 | 25 | 1.2 | 23 | 2.2 | 26 | | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.83 | No | 2026 | | | Identified as capacity restrained in 2012 MP |
| wwmj | OB2 | 25 | 0.7 | 27 | 0.8 | 27 | 20 | 17.5 | 1.4 | 1.54 | Yes | 2031 | | | Identified as capacity restrained in 2012 MP |

Appendix G

Summary of Developer Servicing Strategies Southern Region 2019

MEMO –Summary of developer servicing strategy documents for water and sewer in Southern Region Development Servicing Plan Area

Background

To support the next generation of the Developer Servicing Plan (DSP) 2019, this summary document is provided to give an overview of the methodology followed to identify the proposed assets to fulfil the system performance standards followed by Central Coast Council and to address the new development that takes place within the CCC southern area.

1. Coastal Carrier Strategy & Terrigal Major Strategy

The Coastal Carrier System (CCS) comprises all infrastructures in the Northern Beaches areas of Gosford City. The suburbs include, Forrester's Beach, Terrigal, Wamberal, North Avoca, Avoca, and Kincumber. The CCS joins the Gosford Kincumber Gravity Main (GKCM) just prior to Kincumber Major.

It has been identified that Coastal Carrier sewer system has been experiencing capacity constraints for a considerable time and to address these deficiencies two strategies have been carried out in the past as following;

Terrigal Major Strategy:

The Terrigal Major strategy has identified the pump station that are under capacity and some have been amplified in the past. Terrigal Major SPS and some of the upstream infrastructure have been augmented in the recent past, specifically pump stations C1, C4, C5, C8, C13, C15 and FB1. However, the amplifications carried out in the past have restricted operation capacity due to lack of capacity in the major carriers in the downstream area. In the recent past there had been rapid residential development in the northern most section of Terrigal catchment (refer Figure2). These consist of Forrest Glen Retirement village and Bakali Rd subdivisions. Due to these developments it was identified SPS FB4 is needed to be amplified in 2019/2020. The other major developments are located in C18 catchment and C1 catchments (Refer Table 1).

Coastal Carrier Strategy:

With the above developments and the additional flow that is anticipated from the infill the flow that is anticipated at SPS Terrigal Major is expected to increase in the future. However, the assets downstream of TMJ have restricted capacity to carry this flow and the flow from the catchment of North Avoca Service Area. A duplicate rising main for SPS TMJ had been partially constructed. The completion of this RM would increase the working capacity of SPS TMJ to about 810 L/s, but this would exceed the capacity of some downstream components. Coastal Carrier Strategy has identified the infrastructure shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3 as necessary to service the areas TMJ and NAMJ service Areas. However, reassessment of the Coastal Carrier Strategy is planned for 2019/2020 that may result in a change in the currently identified infrastructure.



Figure 1: major Developments in Terrigal major catchment

Table 1: Major developments in Terrigal catchment

| | Forecast ID Dev | Contributing ET(2018-2036) | |
|------------------------|--|-------------------------------|-----|
| Forrester's Beach & | Forest Glen retirement Village (SPS FB4) | | 232 |
| wamperal | Bakali North (SPS FB4) | | 100 |
| | Bakali South (SPS FB1) | | 57 |
| | Forecast ID Infill | | 157 |
| Terrigal & North Avoca | SPS C18 catchment(Kings Ave) | | 127 |
| | SPS TMJ Catchment (Misc) | | 84 |
| | SPS C1 Catchment(Misc) | | 365 |
| | Forecast ID Infill | | 403 |



Figure 2: Infrastructure identified by Terrigal Major Strategy & Coastal Carrier Strategy



Figure 3: Infrastructure identified in Coastal Carrier Strategy

2. Master Plan 2012

A Master Plan for former Gosford council was developed in 2012 and it consisted of identification of the necessary infrastructure to service the population growth, satisfying the desired standard of service followed by CCC. Following are the main items that consisted of the Master Plan.

- Analysis and Development of level of Service for Water and Sewer services
- Analysis of Population Growth and identifying Future Service Areas
- Calculate Water demands and Sewer flows for each horizon
- Preparation of Water servicing Strategy and Sewer System Servicing Strategy

Master Plan identified following population growth forecast within former Gosford LGA and Table 2 shows the comparison of Master Plan Projections and 2019 Forecast ID projections.

| Year | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 | 2036 | 2041 | 2046 | 2051 |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Population | 166900 | 172800 | 177700 | 181800 | 185700 | 189900 | 192700 | 195500 | 198400 |
| Forecast ID* | - | 172046 | 179377 | 183557 | 186415 | 189883 | - | - | - |

Table 2: population Forecast in Master Plan 2012 and Forecast.id 2019

* From 2019 report <u>http://forecast.id.com.au/central-coast-nsw</u>

Master Plan consisted of identification of infrastructure to serve the 2051 projected populations that consisted of major developments, Centres and the infill within the suburbs as forecasted by Forecast.id. Two separate studies for water and sewer infrastructure were carried out as requirements for water and sewer services were different due to presence of Onsite Sewerage Management (OSSM) were in operation for some areas that already had water service.

• Existing Service Area

The existing serviced area for master planning purposes generally comprised all properties, including vacant properties, within the general boundaries of the year 2010 serviced area. A major component of the development consisted of Gosford CBD area, Somersby Industrial Park and Mount Penang developments. Based on Master Plan 2012 two separate strategies were developed in (2016/2017) for Gosford CBD water and sewer Infrastructure amplifications. These infrastructure are at the design and construction stage currently and have been excluded from 2019 DSP. In addition, Industrial/Commercial precincts Somersby and Mount Penang also have been excluded in the 2019 DSP. The balance infrastructure is shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5 and briefly described

- Potential Service Areas; The following categories have been identified in Master plan and description of each development area is provided in Table xx.
 - DSP Areas; Servicing vacant urban lands covered by Developer Servicing Plans as a result new growth occurring of the Wyoming, Narara, Kariong and Erina by extension of low density residential zone into adjoining vacant lands
 - Investigation and Future Development Areas; These areas comprise relatively small isolated pockets of principally residential development, zoned urban and/or non-urban, with water but not sewer service that have on-site sewage management which may require servicing with sewer in near future
 - Rezoning of non-Urban lands; Formerly, the Gosford Horticultural Research Station (Narara Eco village), this 12 Ha property is zoned for urban residential development. The land will require water and sewer reticulation to be extended upon sub-division.

Table 3: Details of Potential Service Areas

| | Unsei Area | rviced (Ha) | ET | Timing | Water mains | Sewer Gravity main | Sewer Rising main | SPS |
|--|---------------|----------------|------|-----------|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----|
| DSP Area | Water | Sewer | | | | (DN 150) | (DN100) | |
| Wyoming East | 79 | 88 | 199 | 2021-2031 | | 1636 | | |
| Kariong | 16 | 16 | 66 | 2019-2031 | | | 250 | 1 |
| Erina (East of James Sea Dr | 31 | 31 | 219 | 2021-2031 | | 583 | | |
| Lisarow | | 15 | 14 | 2015-2031 | | 214 | | |
| Investigation and Future Development | | | | | | | | |
| Erina Heights | | 167 | 2667 | 2031-2051 | 930(DN250) | 12100 | | |
| Killcare Heights | | 9 | 33 | 2021-2031 | | 1109 | | |
| Wamberel North | | 121 | 139 | 2031-2051 | | 11100 | | |
| Wamberel South | | 214 | 205 | 2031-2041 | | 16700 | | |
| Mac Masters Beach | | 162 | 84 | 2015-2041 | | 1480 | | |
| Karalta Rd | | 12 | 44 | 2031-2036 | | 1200 | | |
| SIP Eastern Extn | | | | 2031-2036 | | | 550 | 1 |
| Rezoning of Non- Urban Lands | | | | | | (DN225) | | |
| Narara Eco Village | 12 | 12 | 150 | 2020-2031 | 175(DN150) | 296 | | |

• Water Strategy:

After excluding infrastructure that fall within Gosford CBD, Somersby Industrial Park and Mount Penang development, the system performance analysis has shown that new growth occurring as a result of the Wyoming, Narara, Kariong and Erina do not need major upgrades. Some local service extensions have been identified for these in 2014 DSP that have been included in 2019 DSP too. Out of the identified link mains and extensions some have been already constructed.

• Sewer Strategy:

Sewer Strategy consisted of upgrades of storages of three major pump stations (NAMJ, WYMJ and TMK) and infrastructure needed to service the potential service areas indicated in Table 3. Figure 4 shows the reticulation sewer mains of DN 150 that need to service these new areas. Master Plan had identified the sewer gravity mains that have capacity constraints but they have not been included in the proposed asset list.

Master Plan study identified the SPSs that needed emergency storages by analysing the dry weather flow for current and future. Also PWWF for current and future (2051) scenarios were assessed for 1 in2 yr ARI, 1in 5yr ARI event and 1in 10yr ARI event. These wet weather assessment have identified a list of minor SPSs that need amplification but they have not been included in the future asset requirements. Hence a separate assessment (LEP analysis) was used to identify SPSs that need capacity amplifications and emergency storage requirements.



Figure 4: Sewer Gravity and rising mains mains for Potential Service Areas identified in Master Plan 2012

3. System Performance assessment for new Local Environmental Planning (LEP)

A system performance assessment was carried out for the new proposed Local Environment Plan (LEP) to assess how the system performance would change with the introduction of the proposed LEP (new lot size 450m² instead of 550m²). The CCC south water network and sewer network were assessed to identify the assets that need amplification for the current demand with the potential additional lots.

Water network system performance; Max day demand analysis carried out for current scenario identified some mains that experience high head loss>10m/km and velocity around 2m/s. The following marked water mains have been included in the 2019 DSP as they were identified for capacity constraints. Some of the assets identified in this exercise have also been identified in 2014 DSP too.



Figure 5: Identified trunk water mains with capacity constraints for current demand



Figure 6: Identified reticulation mains with capacity constraints

Sewer network system performance assessment;

Following were sewer mains that were identified as mains that need amplification as these mains experienced a peak wet weather flow for 1: 5 year ARI event exceeding the pipe full capacities. The lines marked in the following figures have been included in 2019 DSP.



Figure 7: Sewer Gravity mains with capacity constraints in Erina Syphon Service Area



Figure 8: Reticulation mains identified in Erina Green Point Service Area



Figure 9: Sewer Gravity reticulation mains in West Gosford Service Area-WG6 catchment



Figure 10: Sewer Gravity main identified in West Gosford_WG3 catchment



Figure 11: Sewer Gravity mains identified in Terrigal Major Service Area_C8 and C5A catchments

4. Pump Station Performance Assessment

2012 Master Plan had identified the pump stations that need amplifications on emergency storage and pump capacity by assessing dry weather emergency storages and by assessing peak wet weather at different intensities of wet weather events. Peak wet weather have been carried out for 1 in 2 yr ARI, 1 in 5 yr ARI and 1 in 10Yr ARI rainfall events. Some of the pump stations identified as capacity restricted in 2012 have been amplified already.

The assessment carried out for new Local Environment Plan (LEP) assessment also carried out an analysis on the pump capacity for each catchment. All sewer systems were assessed for 1in 5 yr ARI event and pump stations that had a capacity less than or close to the peak wet weather flow were for current scenario were selected for as SPSs that need amplification.

Two sewer models were used to assess future wet weather flow;

- Master Plan Model 2012; The average and peak dry weather in each catchment was assessed by running dry weather flow runs for model scenarios in Master Plan 2012 model for future(2026,2031,2036). The growth up to 2031 horizon in each catchment was assessed by these results.
- Calibrated model 2015; The storm allowance for each catchment was calculated based on dry weather and wet weather flow in the calibrated model(2015). The future wet weather flow was calculated based on future dry weather flow and storm allowance in each catchment. Proposed pump capacity was decided on the above procedure.

The current emergency storage time for each pump station was assessed by using the current calibrated model. The future emergency storage time for each pump station was assessed by calculating the holding time proportionately to the growth within the catchment.

Rising main velocity for the current pump capacities were obtained from the current calibrated model. The rising mains that had velocities higher than 2.5 m/s were identified for amplifications. In addition the rising main velocities were assessed for future pump capacities and proposed for amplification if the velocities were higher than 2.5 m/s.

5. 2014 DSP

2014 DSP indicated some assets that were identified to improve the system performance in Narara and Niagara Park and Lisarow. They are mostly link mains to connect dead ends and are aimed to system performance and water quality in the above areas. They have been included in 2019 DSP.



Figure 12: link mains in Narara and Lisarow areas



Figure 13: Link mains in Narara

References:

- 1. Water and sewerage Master Plan 2051- water system tech memoranda-2012
- 2. Water and sewerage Master Plan 2051- sewer system tech memoranda-2012
- 3. Servicing and Infrastructure capacity Analysis-2018
- 4. Terrigal Major sewer Pump Station Detailed Design Report -2000
- 5. Coastal Carrier Strategy Briefing Paper-Chris McDonald-2019
- 6. Gosford NAVGS detail design report-AECOM-2012

Appendix H Central Coast Council Equivalent Tenement Calculation Matrix

Water and Sewer Loading Calculation - ET Assessment for Developer Charges - Central Coast Council

| Category | ET Per Unit | Description | Examples |
|---|--------------------------|--|--|
| Land Subdivision | | | |
| Subdivision (all land use excluding large lot residential) | 1 per lot | Land serviced with water supply and/or sewerage | Includes residential, commercial, industrial etc. |
| Large lot Residential Subdivision (where lot size is greater 2.000m2) | 1.2 ET/lot for Water | Large lot residential subdivision where increased water consumption | |
| | 1 ET/lot for Sewerage | is common. | Rural residential development |
| Residential Accommodation | | | |
| Residential habitable multi-dwelling properties & tourist development | | | |
| 1 Bedroom | 0.5 | | |
| | 0.75 | Multi dwelling residential development subject to assessment of | Granny flats, dual occupancies, unit development etc. Any |
| 2 Bedroom | 0.75 | proposed number of bedrooms. | awelling meeting definition of a nabitable dwelling. |
| | I | | |
| Commercial Accommodation | 0.5 | Caravan/camp site with shared laundry and camp kitchen | |
| Caravan Park-I ong Term Site | 0.5 | Permanent occupation site with shared laundry and camp kitchen | |
| | 0.70 | | Backpackers, some boarding houses (dependant on fixtures |
| Hostel Bed | 0.15/bed | Hostel style accommodation with communal bathrooms, kitchens etc. | arrangements), Youth Hostels |
| | 0.2/room | | Hotels, motels, some boarding houses (dependant on fixtures |
| Hotel style accommodation | 0.3/10011 | Hotel/Motel/Inn - Short term occupation | arrangements) |
| | 1/bed | Health care facilities where patients are treated on a short-medium | |
| Hospital Bed | | term basis with various support services provided. | Public/private hospitals |
| Nursing Home | 0.4/bed | disability support but share kitchen/dining facilities | Nursing homes (various levels of care). Aged care facilities |
| | as per residential multi | | |
| Seniors living development | dwelling | Self contained sites in a multi dwelling setting | |
| Commercial | | | |
| | | | Hairdresser |
| | 0.005/07 | | Beauty Salon |
| | 0.005/50 m | General commercial/business development (excludes home offices | Offices |
| Shops/offices | | within existing residential dwellings) | Retail shops |
| Snopping Centre Complex | 0.001/sq m | Large scale commercial/business development | vvestileid, Erina Fair, Woolworths |
| Bulky Goods | 0.001/sq.m | commercial premises utilised for the storage and sale of bulky | Bunnings Good Guive Domayne |
| | | A premise used for the preparation or service of light food and coffee | Coffee Shops |
| Café | 0.005/sq.m | to the public | Cafes |
| | 0.01/22.m | A premise used for the preparation or service of food product to the | Take away food |
| Food Premises | 0.01/5q.11 | public. | Restaurant |
| | 0.00/ | | McDonalds |
| High Volume Food Promises | 0.03/sq.m | A high volume premise used for the preparation or service of food | NFC Hungry Jacks |
| | | | Thingly Jacks |
| | based on forecast water | | |
| Nursery | demand or meter size | | Commercial nurseries |
| | office rate for office | | |
| | area + bulky goods for | | Helden Declarskin |
| Snowroom/Car yard | snowroom area | | Holden Dealership |
| Car wash | consumption | Car wash sites with varying levels of onsite water recycling | Car Lovers Car Wash |
| | | Licenced premises with number of occupants based on liquor | |
| | 0.04/Per occupant | licence. Floor area associated with internal restaurants/cafes to be | Licenced Club |
| Licenced Club, Tavern | | assessed in line with food premises provisions. | Pub |
| Medical Centre/Practice/Vet | 0.4/practice room | Includes consulting rooms, imaging rooms etc. | |
| Sorvice Station | 0.75/no. of lanes | | |
| | 0.6/machine | | |
| Stables | 140 | Per built up hectare when serviced with water and/or sewerage | |
| Industrial | | | |
| | | Industrial development utilised for bulk storage and warehousing in | Bulk storage |
| | | which manufacturing is not undertaken. Water shall not be utilised | Warehousing |
| | 0.0005/sq.m | for operational purposes except for provision of staff amenities. | |
| | 0.0000/34.111 | Office and administration service areas are calculated | |
| Light Industrial | | separately where the office area exceeds 10% of the total building area | |
| | | Industrial development in which minimal water consumption may be | Dry Manufacturing |
| | | intermittently utilised within the manufacturing or operational process. | Dry assembly |
| | 0.001/sq.m | Office and administration service areas are calculated | Metal work |
| | | separately where the office area exceeds 10% of the total | Mechanical workshops |
| Medium Industrial | | building area. | Carpentry and joinery |
| | | function within the manufacturing or operational process. Details on | Concrete plants |
| | Water requirements and | water demand and sewage loads must be provided on application. | Breweries |
| | sewage generation | Office and administration service areas are calculated | Depots for dirty industry, eg Ausgrid depots with bath house |
| | | separately where the office area exceeds 10% of the total | |
| Heavy Industrial | | building area. | |
| Public Services/ Amenities | | | |
| | 0.04/=======1 | | Child Care Bro School |
| School | 0.04/per pupil-staff | Both headworks and distribution components apply | Dav Care Centre |
| | | | Assumes water supply and sewage pump out facilities are |
| | 0.16/berth | per berth | made available. |
| Marina | 0.75/berth | only for permanent residence | |
| Swimming Deale | 20/2,500m3 Olympic | Proposed pool scaled against an Olympic pool. Amenities calculated | Swimming Dool |
| Swimming Pools | pool | separately. | Swimming Mooi Bowling alleys, cinemas, gyms, dance halls, squash courte |
| Halls/Auditoriums/Theatres/Recreation | 0.5/per w.c, urinal | Public/private recreation and entertainment areas | public halls, places of worship. |
| | | | · · · |
| | 0.5/per w.c, urinal | Public amenities. Charges will not be levied for amenities provided by | Sports amenities |
| Amenities | | not-for-profit community groups (non-government), at public assets. | Public amenities |

Water and Sewerage Developer Charges 2019 DSP Equivalent Tenement Calculation Examples

Single Residential Development

An existing residential property, connected to Council's network within the existing water supply and/or sewerage scheme, has a credit of 1 Equivalent Tenement (ET).

The construction of a single residential dwelling, regardless of the number of bedrooms, is covered by the 1 ET credit.

Multi residential dwellings

An existing residential property, connected to Council's network within the existing water supply and/or sewerage scheme, has a credit of 1 ET.

The construction of multiple residential dwellings on a single parcel of land, will require an assessment of the number of bedrooms within each dwelling to determine the number of ETs payable, after accounting for the 1 ET credit.

Example 1

An existing residential property with a two bedroom house is redeveloped. One two bedroom dwelling is constructed, in addition to another three bedroom dwelling in a 'dual occupancy' arrangement:

```
Total loading = 0.75 ET + 1 ET
= 1.75 ET
Minus 1 ET credit for existing residential parcel
= 0.75 ET payable
```

Example 2

An existing residential property with a two bedroom house, has a single bedroom granny flat added, the original two bedroom dwelling remains unchanged:

Total loading = 0.75 ET + 0.5 ET = 1.25 ET Minus 1 ET credit for existing residential parcel = 0.25 ET payable

Example 3

An existing residential property with a single bedroom house, has a single bedroom granny flat added. The original single bedroom dwelling remains unchanged:

Total loading = 0.5 ET + 0.5 ET = 1 ET Minus 1 ET credit for existing residential parcel = 0 ET payable

Example 4

Three existing residential parcels of land are acquired by a single developer with the site redeveloped into a residential unit development. A total of eight two bedroom units and nine single bedroom units are constructed. The ground floor of the new development also features a 50 square metre Café.

Total loading = 6 ET (8 x 0.75) + 4.5 ET (9 x 0.5) + 0.25 ET ($50m^2 * 0.005 ET/m^2$) = 10.75 ET Minus 3 ET credit for existing residential parcels = 7.75 ET payable

Industrial Development - Heavy Industrial (Wet Industry)

A beverage manufacturing plant is proposed which will have the following demand and discharge characteristics:

| Average annual water demand | 15 ML |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Peak day water demand | 50 kL |
| Average daily trade waste discharge | 30 kL |

The determination of water supply equivalent tenements is based on an assessment of average annual demand and peak day demand in accordance with the DSP as follows:

One Equivalent Tenement equals:

Water Supply

- 150 kL/year annual water demand (IPART Determination) or
- 0.92 kL/day peak day water demand (whichever is greater)

Sewerage

• 125 kL/year annual sewage discharge (IPART Determination)

Water Developer Charges

| Average annual water demand | = 15 ML |
|-----------------------------|---|
| | = 15 ML x (1000 kL/ML) / 150 kL/ET/year |
| | = 100 ET |

| Peak day water demand | = 50 kL |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| | = 50 kL / 0.92 kL/ET/day |
| | = 54.35 ET |

Average annual demand governs for the calculation of Water Supply Developer Charges for this example. 100 Equivalent Tenements payable minus any existing site credits for Water Supply.

<u>Sewerage Developer Charges</u> Average daily trade waste discharge = 30 kL = 30 kL x (365 days/year) / 125 kL/year = 87.6 ET

87.6 Equivalent Tenements payable minus any existing site credits for Sewerage.

Industrial Development – Manufacturing with offices

An existing factory building located on a parcel of land within an existing industrial subdivision is converted into a manufacturing business. The sites previous use (and previous developer charges paid) resulted in a credit of 0.6 ET being applicable to the building.

The building has a total floor area of 1,600m² of which 1,300m² will be used for manufacturing and assembly, with the remaining 300m² to be used as an office space to support the production activities.

Proposal utilises over 10% of the factory area for offices, therefore a combination of Medium Industrial and Office development types apply (exceeds 10% allowance for offices within Light and Medium Industrial uses).

Balance of floor area exceeding 10% to be paid at 'office rate' with remainder of floor area to be paid at 'medium industrial' rate as shown in ET calculation matrix.

| Office Area pa | yable | = 300m2 – (1,600m2 x 10%) |
|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | = 140m2 x 0.005ET/m2 |
| | | = 0.7 ET |
| | | |
| Medium Indus | strial Area payable | = (1,600m2 – 140m2) * 0.001 ET/m2 |
| | | = 1.46 ET |
| | | |
| Total loading | = 0.7 ET + 1.46 ET | |
| | = 2.16 ET | |
| | Minus 0.6 ET credit fo | r existing industrial building |
| | = 1.56 ET payable | |

Appendix I

Valuation of Existing and Proposed Assets

| Wate | r Mains |
|------------------|-------------------------|
| Diameter (mm) | Unit Rate \$ 2019/20 |
| 150 | \$ 288.93 |
| 200 | \$ 328.94 |
| 250 | \$ 393.67 |
| 300 | \$ 460.44 |
| 375 | \$ 540.22 |
| 450 | \$ 665.58 |
| 500 | \$ 756.75 |
| 525 | \$ 802.33 |
| 600 | \$ 927.69 |
| 650 | \$ 982.12 |
| 750 | \$ 1,201.20 |
| 825 | \$ 1,286.24 |
| 1050 | \$ 1,584.41 |

Note: 1. Extra credit rate of \$1,000 per meter applies to contributed (not donated) water pressure main which is required to be installed by trechles technology but will face environmental constraint or regulatory requirment from relevant authority (eg; RMS, Sydney Train).

2. DN150mm water mains are required to be donated as part of reticulation assets for new developments.

Existing and Proposed Sewerage Asset Unit Rates 2019 DSP

Gravity Sewer Mains Trunk Mains (\$/m) 2019/20 FY

| | Depth (m) | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----------|-----------------|----|-------|-------|----------------|-------|-------|
| Dia | Mi | Min Depth 1.5-3 | | | 3-4.5 | > | 4.5 m | |
| 225 | \$ | 413 | \$ | 511 | \$ | 647 | \$ | 790 |
| 300 | \$ | 560 | \$ | 645 | \$ | 814 | \$ | 942 |
| 375 | \$ | 716 | \$ | 838 | \$ | 978 | \$ | 1,117 |
| 450 | \$ | 905 | \$ | 1,018 | \$ | 1,172 | \$ | 1,300 |
| 525 | \$ | 1,091 | \$ | 1,091 | \$ | 1,363 | \$ | 1,506 |
| 600 | \$ | 1,263 | \$ | 1,373 | \$ | 1,555 | \$ | 1,688 |
| 750 | \$ | 1,105 | \$ | 1,814 | \$ | 1 <i>,</i> 938 | \$ | 2,071 |

| Rising Mair | ıs (\$/ | ′m) |
|--------------------|---------|----------|
| | \$202 | 19/20 FY |
| Dia | Rate | e per m |
| 100 | \$ | 368 |
| 150 | \$ | 423 |
| 200 | \$ | 459 |
| 225 | \$ | 479 |
| 250 | \$ | 513 |
| 300 | \$ | 586 |
| 375 | \$ | 714 |
| 450 | \$ | 842 |
| 600 | \$ | 1,473 |

Note: Extra credit rate of \$1,000 per meter applies to contributed (not donated) gravity sewer main & pressure mains which is required to be installed by trechless technology but will face environmental constraint or regulatory requirement from relevant authority (eg. RMS, Sydney Train).



Note: An additional credit of \$100,000 is included for new greenfield sewage pumping station to cover odour specity control due to the intake of new development occurs over the years.

Appendix J

Southern Region Developer Charges Calculation Sheet

Southern Region Sewerage

CALCULATION OF MAXIMUM PRICE

| Index | |
|--|-----------------------|
| Table 1: Calculation of maximum price (\$, \$2019-20) Table 2: Key variables used in maximum price calculation (\$, \$2019-20) Table 3: Annual calculation over analysis horizon (\$, \$2019-20) | Row 16 25 34 |

Note: an input is required in \$F\$21 to incorporate the Headwork costs per ET into the maximum price.

Table 1: Calculation of maximum price (\$, \$2019-20)

| | | | | | | Reduction for |
|---------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | | | | Post-1996 | Post-1996 | expected |
| | | Headworks | | commissioned | uncommissione | revenue and |
| Maximum price | | costs per ET | Pre-1996 assets | assets | d assets | operation costs |
| | Costs to be recovered via DSP | | 139,482,493 | 4,916,625 | 7,338,460 | 26,802,343 |
| | ETs | | 26,017 | 36,528 | 36,528 | 6,907 |
| 1,816 | Value per ET | 0.00 | 5,361 | 135 | 201 | 3,881 |

Table 2: Key variables used in maximum price calculation (\$, \$2019-20)

| | | | | | | | Sum of PV of |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | | | new ETs | Sum of PV of Pre- 1996 | Sum of PV of Post-1996 | Sum of PV of Post-1996 | customers |
| | Sum of PV of | Sum of PV of | (discounted at | commissioned | commissioned | uncommissione | (discounted at |
| | new ETs | new ETs | expected | assets | assets | d assets | expected future |
| | (discounted at | (discounted at | revenue and | (discounted at | (discounted at | (discounted at | revenue and |
| Sum of new ETs (not | pre-1996 asset | post-1996 asset | costs discount | pre-1996 asset | post-1996 asset | post-1996 asset | costs discount |
| discounted) | discount rate) | discount rate) | rate) | discount rate) | discount rate) | discount rate) | rate) |
| 26,017.000 | 26,017 | 36,528 | 6,907 | 139,482,493 | 4,916,625 | 7,338,460 | 42,110,272 |

Southern Region Water Supply

CALCULATION OF MAXIMUM PRICE

| Index | |
|--|-----------------------|
| Table 1: Calculation of maximum price (\$, \$2019-20) Table 2: Key variables used in maximum price calculation (\$, \$2019-20) Table 3: Annual calculation over analysis horizon (\$, \$2019-20) | Row 16 25 34 |

Note: an input is required in \$F\$21 to incorporate the Headwork costs per ET into the maximum price.

Table 1: Calculation of maximum price (\$, \$2019-20)

| | | | | | | Reduction for |
|---------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | | | | Post-1996 | Post-1996 | expected |
| | | Headworks costs | | commissioned | uncommissioned | revenue and |
| Maximum price | | per ET | Pre-1996 assets | assets | assets | operation costs |
| | Costs to be recovered via DSP | | 14,558,850 | 2,591,711 | 326,692 | 13,678,003 |
| | ETs | | 26,274 | 37,040 | 37,040 | 6,907 |
| 2,585 | Value per ET | 3,933.00 | 554 | 70 | 9 | 1,980 |

Table 2: Key variables used in maximum price calculation (\$, \$2019-20)