

3<sup>rd</sup> August 2006

Mr James Cox  
Chief Executive Officer (CEO)  
Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal (IPART)  
Queen Victoria Building  
PO Box Q290  
QVB PO NSW 1230

*Pricing arrangements for recycled water and sewer mining - Draft Determinations and Report*

**Submission to Draft Report**

Dear Mr Cox,

I believe there is a major flaw in the draft report.

The report constantly uses the terms “consumer” and “user” however the definitions and or the text of the report fails to define these terms.

To compound the issue the report even refers to different types of “users” and “consumers” and often confuses the terminology

I believe this is unacceptable.

I do not comprehend how a price or charging structure can be determined when the identity of the bill payer is not known.

I believe the final report should define the terms and ensure that where the terms “users” and “consumers” are used that they match the definition.

I am also very concerned that there would seem to be only 14 days to distribute the draft report and for the community and interested parties to comment.

Neither the Draft Report or the IPART web site appears to show the submissions considered. I myself lodged two submissions.

Rick Banyard